

Final August 14, 2007

BASIN MANAGEMENT ACTION PLAN

For the Implementation of Total Maximum Daily Loads Adopted by the
Florida Department of Environmental Protection

in the

UPPER OCKLAWAHA RIVER BASIN

Developed by the Upper Ocklawaha Basin Working Group
in Cooperation with the
Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Division of Water Resource Management
Bureau of Watershed Management

August 14, 2007

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgments _____	iv
List of Acronyms _____	v
I. ADOPTED UPPER OCKLAWAHA RIVER BASIN MANAGEMENT ACTION PLAN _____	1
Upper Ocklawaha River Basin Working Group Members and Other Participants _____	2
2007 Upper Ocklawaha River Basin Management Action Plan Addressing the 2003 TMDLs _____	4
AP.1. Background _____	4
AP.2. Total Maximum Daily Loads _____	5
AP.3. BMAP Process _____	8
AP.3.1. Allocations _____	10
AP.3.2. Upper Ocklawaha Basin Management Action Plan _____	10
AP.4. Monitoring Program _____	22
AP.5. Tracking and Follow-up Actions _____	23
AP.6. Commitment to Plan Implementation _____	24

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure AP.1. Anticipated Outcomes of BMAP Implementation in Upper Ocklawaha River Basin TMDL Waters _____	17
Figure AP.2. Commitment to BMAP Implementation _____	25

LIST OF TABLES

Table AP.1. TMDLs in the Upper Ocklawaha River Basin _____	7
Table AP.2. Basin Working Group Organizational Structure _____	9
Table AP.3. Summary of Net Estimated Loadings of Total Phosphorus to TMDL Waters in the Upper Ocklawaha River Basin after BMAP Implementation _____	18
Table AP.4a. Structural BMPs—Quantifiable Load Reductions _____	20
Table AP.4b. Structural BMPs—Load Reductions Not Currently Quantified _____	20
Table AP.5. Agricultural BMPs _____	20
Table AP.6. Restoration and Water Quality Improvement Projects _____	20
Table AP.7. Regulations, Ordinances, and Guidelines _____	20
Table AP.8. Special Studies and Planning Efforts _____	20
Table AP.9. Education and Outreach Efforts _____	20
Table AP.10. Basic Stormwater Management Program Implementation _____	20
Table AP.11. Core and Supplemental Water Quality Indicators _____	23
Table AP.12. Signatories _____	45

Final August 14, 2007

Final August 14, 2007

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The *Upper Ocklawaha River Basin Management Action Plan* was prepared as part of a statewide watershed management approach to restore and protect Florida's water quality. It was developed by the Upper Ocklawaha Basin Working Group (BWG), identified below, with participation from affected local, regional, and state governmental interests; elected officials and citizens; and private interests.

The BWG would like to give special thanks to Ray Sharp and Marj Allman of the city of Leesburg for providing a comfortable place for the working group's meetings, and arranging for morning snacks and working lunches. The BWG also would like to thank the Technical Working Group (TWG), whose members spent many hours unraveling technical details and developing information for the BWG. Special recognition goes to Mary Paulic, who coordinated and contributed greatly to the TWG's work, and to Rolly Fulton and David Walker of the St. Johns River Water Management District, for their expert and patient technical and drafting assistance in the development of the Basin Management Action Plan.

For additional information on total maximum daily loads and the watershed management approach in the Upper Ocklawaha River Basin, contact

Mary Paulic

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Bureau of Watershed Management, Watershed Planning and Coordination Section

2600 Blair Stone Road, Mail Station 3565

Tallahassee, FL 32399-2400

Email: mary.paulic@dep.state.fl.us

Phone: (850) 245-8560; Suncom: 205-5560

Fax: (850) 245-8434

Final August 14, 2007

LIST OF ACRONYMS

BMAP	Basin Management Action Plan
BMP	Best management practice
BOD	Biological oxygen demand
BWG	Basin Working Group
CARL	Conservation and Recreation Lands
CDBG	Community Development Block Grant
CDS	Continuous deflective separation, used in a device that removes sediment from stormwater
cfs	Cubic feet per second
Chl-a	Chlorophyll a
CLIP	Clean Lakes Initiative Program
CR	County Road
DACS	Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services
DEP	Florida Department of Environmental Protection
DO	Dissolved oxygen
DOF	Division of Forestry
DOT	Florida Department of Transportation
DRI	Development of Regional Impact
EMC	Event mean concentration
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
ERP	Environmental Resource Permit
F.A.C.	Florida Administrative Code
F.S.	Florida Statutes
FWC	Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission
FWRA	Florida Watershed Restoration Act
GIS	Geographic information system
GSACSC	Green Swamp Area of Critical State Concern
IWR	Impaired Surface Waters Rule
JPA	Joint Planning Agreement
kg	Kilograms
kg/ha/yr	Kilograms per hectare per year
lbs	Pounds
lbs/acre/yr	Pounds per acre per year
lbs/yr	Pounds per year
LCWA	Lake County Water Authority
LDRs	Land development regulations
LID	Low-impact development
MCAVA	Marion County Aquifer Vulnerability Assessment
MEP	Maximum extent practicable
mg/L	Milligrams per liter
MOS	Margin of safety
MS4	Municipal separate storm sewer system
NOI	Notice of Intent
NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
NSRA	North Shore Restoration Area
NuRF	Nutrient reduction facility
OAWP	Office of Agricultural Water Policy (DACs)
OCEPD	Orange County Environmental Protection Department
OFW	Outstanding Florida Water
P2000	Preservation 2000, land acquisition program from 1990-2000
PAHs	Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons
PLRG	Pollutant load reduction goal
PMRA	Pine Meadows Restoration Area
ppb	Parts per billion
ppm	Parts per million
QA	Quality assurance

Final August 14, 2007

QC	Quality control
SCI	Stream Condition Index
SJRWMD	St. Johns River Water Management District
SOR	Save Our Rivers
SR	State Road
SRF	State revolving fund
SW	Stormwater
SWFWMD	Southwest Florida Water Management District
SWIM	Surface Water Improvement and Management
SWMP	Stormwater management program
TMDL	Total maximum daily load
TN	Total nitrogen
TOC	Total organic carbon
TP	Total phosphorus – inorganic and organic phosphorus compounds analyzed as total phosphorus
TSI	Trophic State Index
TSS	Total suspended solids
TWG	Technical Working Group
UF	University of Florida
UF-IFAS	University of Florida–Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences
USACOE	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
USDA	U.S. Department of Agriculture
USDA WRP	U.S. Department of Agriculture Wetlands Reserve Program
WBID	Waterbody identification (number)
WMD	Water management district
WMM	Watershed Management Model
WMP	Watershed Management Plan
WAV	Watershed Action Volunteers
µg/L	Micrograms per liter

Final August 14, 2007

**I. ADOPTED UPPER OCKLAWAHA
RIVER BASIN MANAGEMENT
ACTION PLAN**

Final August 14, 2007

UPPER OCKLAWAHA RIVER BASIN WORKING GROUP MEMBERS AND OTHER PARTICIPANTS

ORGANIZATION/ INTEREST GROUP	BWG REPRESENTATIVE	ELECTED OFFICIAL LIAISON	OTHER CONTRIBUTORS
LOCAL GOVERNMENT			
Lake County	Fred Schneider Mary Hamilton	Elaine Renick	David Crowe David Hansen* Allan Hewitt Amye King Blanche Hardy John Kruse Jeff Richardson Shannon Suffron Debbie Stivender** Greg Welstead Tom Wheeler Walter Wood Cathie Mcgwier Karen Rosick
Lake County Water Authority	Mike Perry Lance Lumbard	Sean Parks	Ron Hart Robert Taylor**
Lake Soil and Water Conservation District	Brad Russ	Nadine Foley	
Marion County	Gail Mowry	Andy Kesselring	Troy Kuphal Shane Williams
Orange County	Lori Cunniff	Teresa Jacobs	Liz Johnson Rick Baird
	Dr. M. Krishnamurthy		Robert van den Akker Julie Bortles Penny Post Dan Homblette Ron Novy
Polk County	Robert Kollinger	TBD	
Apopka	Jessica Schilling	John Land	
Clermont	Cheryl Parker	Jack Hogan	Preston Davis Elaine Renick** Rebecca Vanderbeck*
Eustis	Larry Konieczko	Evelyn Smith	Gwen Manning** Chin Khor*
Fruitland Park	John Bostic	Christopher Bell	
Groveland	Larry Walker Mike Wheeler	Allen Sherrod	Teresa Greenham*
Howey-in-the-Hills	Harwood Padget	Chris Sears	
Lady Lake	Ken Keough Butch Goodman	Ty Miller/ Paul Hannan	
Leesburg	Ray Sharp	C. Robert Lovell	Jim Richards Ed Horton David Crane
Mascotte	Robert Holland	Jeff Krull	
Minneola	Mark O'Dell Craig Raines	Joe Teri	
Montverde	Rick Crews	Dale Heathman	
Mount Dora	Paul Ritter Nick McRay	James Yatsuk	Roberta Stegemerten Robert Thielhelm Chris Dilley* Bob Herndon
Tavares	Robert Wolfe Heath Frederick	Nancy Clutts Sandy Gamble	Robert Speaks** Aaron Mercer*
Umatilla	Ken White	TBD	Tim Scobie** Glenn Irby
Winter Garden	Alex Nasser	Bob Buchanan	Theo Graham ** David Hamstra April Breen Robert Smith*
Ocoee	Steve Krug	Scott Vandergrift	Tonya Elliott David Hamstra April Breen David Wheeler*

* Former BWG representative.

** Former elected official liaison.

Final August 14, 2007

UPPER OCKLAWAHA RIVER BASIN WORKING GROUP MEMBERS AND OTHER PARTICIPANTS *(continued)*

ORGANIZATION/ INTEREST GROUP	BWG REPRESENTATIVE	ELECTED OFFICIAL LIAISON	OTHER CONTRIBUTORS	
REGIONAL AND STATE AGENCIES				
St. Johns River Water Management District	David Walker Gene Caputo	Clay Albright	Rolland Fulton Mike Coveney	Maryann Utegg– Watershed Action Volunteers
Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission	John Benton	Dennis David		
Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services	Carol Johnson		Jody Lee	Terry Pride
Florida Department of Transportation	Patrick Muench		Tim Kelly Richard Fowler	Michael Hill* Robert Potts
Florida Department of Community Affairs	Not Applicable		Richard Deadman	
Florida Department of Environmental Protection— Central District	Chris Ferraro		Vivian Garfein, Director Barbara Bess Tom Bess	Tara Plank
Florida Department of Environmental Protection— Tallahassee	Not Applicable		Eric Livingston Mary Paulic Fred Calder	Terry Pride* Xueging Gao Wayne Magley
PRIVATE AND ENVIRONMENTAL INTERESTS				
Agriculture	Bill Benham Keith Truenow			
Alliance to Protect Water Resources, Inc. (APWR)	Nancy H. Fullerton			
Ocklawaha Valley Audubon Society	Linda Bystrak			
ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PARTICIPANTS				
Andreyev Engineering			Susanna Littell	
B&H Consulting			Jim Shira	
Applied Sciences			Don Moores	
BCI Engineering			John Kiefer Terry Dykehouse	Tim Kelly Walt Reigner
Boyle Engineering			Sue Woodbery	Mike Howe
Brown and Caldwell			Kurt Frahn	
Eustis Chamber of Commerce			Sharron Semento	
Green Consultants			Tim Green	
HCBassMasters			A.J. Willeguer	
Jones Edmunds			Brett Cunningham	Liz Perez Lora Bailey
PEAR			George Wolf	
Professional Engineering Consultants (PEC)			David Hamstra April Breen	
Picciola Island Homeowners Association			Ann Griffin	
Unaffiliated Citizens			Ed Schlachtenhaufen Herb Danczyk Leonard Wheeler Charles Clark	Mary Anderson Dr. Ronald Ney Ron Regan
Stormwater 360			Michael Bateman	
SAIC			Kathy Harrigan	Heather Waters

* Former BWG representative.

2007 UPPER OCKLAWAHA RIVER BASIN MANAGEMENT ACTION PLAN ADDRESSING THE 2003 TMDLS

The Upper Ocklawaha River Basin Management Action Plan (BMAP) was developed by basin stakeholders over a two-year period. The BMAP addresses waters in the Upper Ocklawaha River Basin that the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) has identified as impaired, for which Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs) have been established. The BMAP does not address all of the water quality issues in the basin but focuses on reducing total phosphorus (TP)¹ discharges to surface waters that are identified as impaired. The BMAP documents the management actions that have been or will be undertaken by local, regional, state, or private entities to reduce the amount of TP released into the basin.

Reducing the discharges of TP into the basin will help achieve water quality standards and designated uses established by DEP. DEP has designated that the water quality of the Upper Ocklawaha River Basin should be suitable for recreational use and for the propagation and maintenance of a healthy, well-balanced population of fish and wildlife. The TP reductions achieved by the management actions included in the BMAP will help the Upper Ocklawaha River Basin attain this designated use.

While developing the BMAP, the Upper Ocklawaha Basin Working Group (BWG) discussed the basin's water quality issues and placed each issue into one of three categories. Primary issues are directly linked to TMDLs and were targeted during this BMAP cycle. Secondary issues are those that generally result from impacts of the primary issues. Finally, other issues that did not have a substantial link to the current TMDLs were also identified.

This BMAP presents a plan for reducing nutrient loadings in the basin using a phased approach. During the first five-year cycle, the BWG members will focus on reducing the larger pollution sources. The BWG members will also be evaluating other pollution sources that require additional research or that represent a relatively smaller percentage contribution to the total loading. The adopted BMAP reflects this phased implementation of TMDLs. The BMAP should be considered a working document. It is a plan that outlines management actions, establishes a strategy to monitor implementation and water quality trends, and establishes a framework for adapting the plan when needed. The plan is discussed in further detail in the following sections.

AP.1. Background

The Upper Ocklawaha BMAP has been developed as part of DEP's TMDL Program (authorized by the Florida Watershed Restoration Act [FWRA] [Section 403.067, Florida Statutes [F.S.]). DEP implements the act using a watershed management approach that includes a five-year rotating basin cycle. Each year of the cycle represents a different activity for the waters within a given basin group, as follows: Initial Basin Assessment,

¹ TP is the combined measurement of phosphorus as orthophosphate (PO₄), other inorganic phosphorus compounds, and organic phosphorus compounds found in water and expressed as amounts of phosphorus. TP is used in aquatic science as a measure of the biological productivity of a waterbody. It is one of the primary nutrients regulating algal and macrophyte growth in natural waters, particularly in fresh water. While it is essential to the growth of plants and other organisms in aquatic systems, excessive amounts increase the rate of plant growth and cause accelerated eutrophication and algal blooms. Phosphorus is a limiting nutrient in many ecosystems, meaning that its availability controls the growth rate of plants and other organisms. Orthophosphate, the form in which almost all inorganic forms of phosphorus are found in the water column, can enter the aquatic environment in a number of ways. Natural processes transport phosphate to water through atmospheric deposition, ground water percolation, and terrestrial runoff. Municipal treatment plants, industries, agriculture, stormwater runoff, and other domestic activities also contribute to phosphate loading through direct discharge and natural transport mechanisms.

Final August 14, 2007

Strategic Monitoring, Data Analysis and TMDL Development, **Basin Management Action Plan Development**; and Basin Management Action Plan Implementation. At the end of each five-year, five-phase cycle, a new cycle begins for each group of basins in which additional waters may be identified for TMDL establishment and implementation.

The Upper Ocklawaha River Basin is located mostly in Lake County. It also encompasses the northwest portion of Orange County, southern Marion County, and the northern part of Polk County. The basin includes the following waterbodies of interest:

- *Lake Apopka and the Apopka-Beauclair Canal;*
- *The Clermont Chain of Lakes (Minneola, Minnehaha, and Louisa, along with 12 other smaller lakes), connected by the Palatka River;*
- *The Harris Chain of Lakes including Lakes Harris, Dora, Beauclair, Eustis, Little Harris, and Carlton, Dead River; Dora Canal and Trout Lake along with*
- *Lake Griffin and Lake Yale, the Yale-Griffin Canal, some tributaries to Lake Griffin, Emerald Marsh, and Haynes Creek.*

AP.2. Total Maximum Daily Loads

TMDLs are water quality targets for waterbodies that DEP has identified as impaired for specific pollutants (such as TP, total nitrogen [TN], and others). TMDLs, which DEP adopts by rule, establish the maximum amount of specific pollutants that a waterbody can assimilate while maintaining water quality standards, which are indicated by designated uses. All surface waters (including wetlands) in the Upper Ocklawaha River Basin are designated as Class III waters in accordance with Rule 62-302, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), meaning that they must have suitable water quality for recreational use and for the propagation and maintenance of a healthy, well-balanced population of fish and wildlife.

To establish a TMDL, DEP assesses each impaired waterbody, the pollutant(s) contributing to the impairment, and the amount of the pollutant(s) entering the waterbody during a specified period. DEP then determines the level of pollutant(s)—the TMDL—that each waterbody can receive and still maintain its Class III designated use (the TMDL), and calculates the corresponding pollutant reduction needed to achieve the TMDL.

Ten waterbodies in the basin did not meet their designated uses and were verified by DEP as impaired. TP is the primary pollutant contributing to the impairment of all these waterbodies. In Trout Lake and the Palatka River, TN contributes to the problem, and biological oxygen demand (BOD) is also identified as a pollutant contributing to the impairment in the Palatka River.

In 2003, DEP adopted TMDLs for the following 10 impaired waterbodies and associated tributary and connecting canals and streams (e.g., Haynes Creek, Dead River, Apopka-Beauclair Canal, Dora Canal, Helena Run, Apopka Spring, and Yale-Griffin Canal) in the Upper Ocklawaha River Basin:

Final August 14, 2007

- *Lake Apopka*
- *Lake Beauclair*
- *Lake Carlton*
- *Lake Dora*
- *Lake Eustis*
- *Trout Lake*
- *Lake Harris (includes Little Lake Harris)*
- *Palatlahaha River (north of State Road [SR] 50)*
- *Lake Griffin*
- *Lake Yale*

Table AP.1 lists the TMDLs for these waterbodies. As part of the second rotation of the basin management cycle in the Upper Ocklawaha River Basin, DEP is collecting data to further analyze the water quality impairments in the basin and establish additional TMDLs.

Final August 14, 2007

TABLE AP.1. TMDLS IN THE UPPER OCKLAWAHA RIVER BASIN

SUB-BASIN	WATERBODY IDENTIFICATIONS WBID(s)	TMDL (lbs/yr)	TARGET CONCENTRATION (ppb)	TMDL BASELINE LOAD ¹ (lbs/yr)	WASTELoad ALLOCATIONS		LOAD ALLOCATION (NONPOINT) (lbs/yr)	OVERALL NEEDED REDUCTION (lbs/yr)
					WASTEWATER (lbs/yr)	NPDES STORMWATER ² (% reduction)		
LAKE APOPKA	2835A,C,D	35,052	55	137,451	2,668	None	31,216	102,399
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS ³								
LAKE BEAUCLAIR	2834C	7,056	32	46,672	None	85	7,056	39,616
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS								
LAKE CARLTON	2837B	195	32	477	None	59	195	282
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS								
LAKE DORA	2831A,B	13,230	31	39,646	None	67	13,230	26,416
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS								
LAKE EUSTIS/ HAYNES CREEK	2817A,B	20,286	25	35,503	None	43	20,286	15,217
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS								
TROUT LAKE	2819A	521	28	2,604	None	80	521	2,083
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS								
TOTAL NITROGEN								
LAKE HARRIS/ LITTLE LAKE HARRIS	2838A,B 2832/2817C	18,302	26	26,864	None	32	18,302	8,562
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS								
PALATLAKAHA RIVER	2839	2,207	None	2,350	None	6.1	2,207	143
BOD								
TOTAL NITROGEN								
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS								
LAKE GRIFFIN	2814A	26,901	32	77,881	None	66	26,901	50,980
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS								
LAKE YALE/ LAKE YALE CANAL	2807A 2807	2,844	20	3,158	None	10	2,844	314
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS								

Note: lbs/yr – pounds per year ppb – parts per billion

¹ TMDL baseline loads were taken from more recent estimates by the SJRWMD, except for the Palatlahaha River, Lake Carlton, and Trout Lake, whose loadings were estimated by DEP. Most of the baseline loading estimates developed by SJRWMD were calculated for the period from 1991–2000; Lake Apopka loadings are calculated for the period from 1989–94. DEP estimated baseline loadings for Lake Carlton from 1991–2000 and Trout Lake from 1995–2000. The baseline loading year for the Palatlahaha River was 1991.

² NPDES Stormwater refers to discharges associated with municipal separate storm sewer systems (MS4s), which are discussed in **Section 3.4.2** of the supporting document. The reduction required is a percent of the current MS4 discharge.

³ Numbers for Lake Apopka were converted from metric tons per year. The TMDL includes an explicit margin of safety (MOS) of 1,168 lbs/yr.

AP.3. BMAP Process

The BMAP development process is structured to achieve cooperation and consensus among a broad range of interested parties. Stakeholder involvement is essential to develop, gain support for, and secure commitments to implement the BMAP. Under statute, DEP invited stakeholders to participate in the Upper Ocklawaha BMAP development process and encouraged public participation to the greatest practicable extent. DEP held three noticed public meetings in the basin to discuss and receive comments during the planning process.

In June 2004, DEP convened the Upper Ocklawaha BWG to develop a BMAP to achieve the TMDLs for the basin. Stakeholders chose unanimously to establish one BWG, with the option of creating small working groups to address specific concerns or issues. Members of the BWG comprise these subgroups, which meet separately from the BWG.

The BWG, which is made up of stakeholder members representing a variety of entities, took a consensus-based, collaborative approach when making decisions on the content of the BMAP. It was necessary to define what constitutes a consensus agreement for the BWG, short of unanimous agreement. However, the BWG concluded that accepting a proposal without full unanimity would be a default position, when necessary to move the process forward and to complete development of the BMAP on schedule. The BWG agreed to make every effort to develop proposals that all members could support. **Table AP.2** summarizes the Upper Ocklawaha River BWG organizational structure, process, membership, and citizen involvement efforts.

The members of the BWG met nearly monthly from June 2004 through June 2006 with subsequent meetings on November 9, 2006; January 25, 2007; and April 21, 2007. To solicit participation from the general public, ads announcing the BWG meeting were periodically placed in the local newspaper, the *Daily Commercial*. As discussion with BWG members proceeded to a point where decisions about the specific responsibilities of each partner were discussed, meetings were formally noticed in the *Florida Administrative Weekly*. The BWG created a Technical Working Group (TWG) with the responsibility of providing a technical review of issues before the BWG and reporting that information back to the BWG for their discussion. The TWG met on an as-needed basis at the request of the BWG and usually in conjunction with a BWG meeting.

Four public meetings/workshops were also held (on April 15, 2004; March 10, 2005; November 10, 2005; and May 18, 2006) to solicit comments from all interested parties, disseminate information, and allow for public discussion. In addition, a number of special briefings and presentations were carried out as needed for city councils, county commissions, elected official liaisons from local governments, special interest groups, community organizations, and others.

Final August 14, 2007

TABLE AP.2. BASIN WORKING GROUP ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE

BASIN WORKING GROUP (BWG)		
<p>Function:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Develop a consensus-based BMAP to implement TMDLs in the Upper Ocklawaha River Basin • Has final decision-making role on BMAP development • Includes Technical Working Group (TWG) subcommittee <p>Makeup:</p> <table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="vertical-align: top; width: 50%;"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lake County and 12 municipalities in the county: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - City of Clermont - City of Eustis - City of Fruitland Park - City of Groveland - Town of Lady Lake - City of Leesburg - City of Mascotte - City of Minneola - Town of Montverde - City of Mount Dora - City of Tavares - City of Umatilla </td> <td style="vertical-align: top; width: 50%;"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lake County Water Authority • Marion County • Orange County and 3 municipalities in the county: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - City of Apopka - City of Ocoee - City of Winter Garden • Polk County • St. Johns River Water Management District • Florida Department of Transportation • Florida Fish and Wildlife Commission • Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services • Florida Department of Environmental Protection • Agriculture industry representative • Alliance to Protect Water Resources, Inc. (environmental representative) </td> </tr> </table> <p>Meetings/Workshops Held:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Monthly meetings generally held on the second Thursday of the month from June 2004 to June 2006, with subsequent meetings held on: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - November 9, 2006 - January 25, 2007 - April 26, 2007 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lake County and 12 municipalities in the county: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - City of Clermont - City of Eustis - City of Fruitland Park - City of Groveland - Town of Lady Lake - City of Leesburg - City of Mascotte - City of Minneola - Town of Montverde - City of Mount Dora - City of Tavares - City of Umatilla 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lake County Water Authority • Marion County • Orange County and 3 municipalities in the county: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - City of Apopka - City of Ocoee - City of Winter Garden • Polk County • St. Johns River Water Management District • Florida Department of Transportation • Florida Fish and Wildlife Commission • Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services • Florida Department of Environmental Protection • Agriculture industry representative • Alliance to Protect Water Resources, Inc. (environmental representative)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lake County and 12 municipalities in the county: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - City of Clermont - City of Eustis - City of Fruitland Park - City of Groveland - Town of Lady Lake - City of Leesburg - City of Mascotte - City of Minneola - Town of Montverde - City of Mount Dora - City of Tavares - City of Umatilla 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lake County Water Authority • Marion County • Orange County and 3 municipalities in the county: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - City of Apopka - City of Ocoee - City of Winter Garden • Polk County • St. Johns River Water Management District • Florida Department of Transportation • Florida Fish and Wildlife Commission • Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services • Florida Department of Environmental Protection • Agriculture industry representative • Alliance to Protect Water Resources, Inc. (environmental representative) 	
CITIZEN INPUT		
<p>Function:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ensure that all interested parties are involved and heard in the TMDL process • Ensure the broad dissemination of TMDL information and the BMAP • Allow for public discussion of issues and strategies <p>Makeup:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Interested parties and the public at large <p>General Public Meetings/Workshops Held:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • April 15, 2004 • March 10, 2005 • November 10, 2005 • May 18, 2006 <p><i>Note: Several interested citizens also attended the BWG meetings regularly</i></p>		
SPECIAL BRIEFINGS/PRESENTATIONS (AS NEEDED)		
<p>Function:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To brief councils, commissions, special interest groups, community organizations, and others on the TMDL process and the progress of the BWG, as requested or needed <p>Makeup:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Affected and/or interested elected bodies, organizations, and other groups in the basin 		
ELECTED OFFICIAL LIAISONS		
<p>Role/Function:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Serve as point of contact for elected local governing bodies • Represent the citizens in their jurisdictions • Attend BWG and public meetings, as desired • Provide feedback to the BWG • Assist in developing effective means of informing and involving elected officials, and in securing their endorsement of a consensus BMAP <p>Makeup:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One elected official appointed by and representing each local government participating in BMAP development. Periodic briefings as a group to the individual elected officials appointed by each local government to serve as a liaison to the BMAP development process. <p>Elected Official Liaison Briefings:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • January 26, 2005 October 26, 2005 <p>Local Government Elected Body Briefings:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • April 2006 January 2007 		

Final August 14, 2007

AP.3.1. Allocations

The TMDL provides a basis for allocating acceptable loads among all of the known pollutant sources in a watershed, so that appropriate control measures can be implemented and water quality standards achieved. An adopted TMDL is expressed as the sum of all point source load allocations, nonpoint source load allocations, and an implicit or explicit MOS, which takes into account any uncertainty concerning the relationship between effluent limitations and water quality.

Under the FWRA (Subsection 403.067[7], F.S.), the TMDL allocation may be an “initial” pollutant allocation of allowable pollutant loads among point and nonpoint sources. In such cases, the “detailed” allocation to specific point sources and specific categories of nonpoint sources must be established in the BMAP. The FWRA further states that the BMAP may make detailed allocations to individual “basins” (i.e., sub-basins) or to all basins as a whole, as appropriate. Both initial and detailed allocations must be determined based on a number of factors listed in the FWRA, including cost-benefit, technical and environmental feasibility, implementation time frames, and others.

The Upper Ocklawaha BWG agreed that, for the purposes of the initial BMAP, it would not be appropriate to try to calculate more specific allocations than those adopted as part of the TMDL. Therefore, the “detailed” allocation chosen was to all sub-basins as a whole, based on the following considerations:

- *There are no significant point sources in the Upper Ocklawaha River Basin. The complexity of calculating more detailed allocations among nonpoint sources would demand time and effort that would delay plan development without benefiting the outcome.*
- *Major restoration projects by the SJRWMD and the Lake County Water Authority (LCWA) are projected to substantially reduce pollutant loadings. In addition, Lake County, Orange County, and various local governments are conducting and planning significant stormwater projects that will contribute to load reductions. Local governments in the basin are increasingly taking responsibility for managing their discharges to surface waters.*
- *There is a wide range of experience, expertise, and resources among the local governments and other entities in the basin responsible for stormwater management. The BMAP process is an opportunity for some to build on their beginning efforts and for others to share their knowledge and resources.*

AP.3.2. Upper Ocklawaha Basin Management Action Plan

The BWG determined that management actions addressing TP, for which all 10 waterbodies in the basin are impaired, should reduce the other pollutants for which TMDLs were established. Therefore, the BWG focused on developing a plan that would reduce TP loadings to the impaired waters.

This section provides significant information on the types of management actions being implemented by the BWG members. Information is provided on the types of actions considered and programs administered in the basin by the SJRWMD; the Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services (DACS); the LCWA; and Lake,

Final August 14, 2007

Orange, Polk, and Marion Counties. Table AP.3 summarize the net reductions in TP loading that will be achieved for each of the 10 impaired waterbodies. **Tables AP.4 through AP.10** include specific information on individual projects implemented by BWG members. The tables contain net estimated reduction in TP loads for each project as well as an estimate of the cost of implementation. The final discussion in this section focuses on future TP loadings and reductions. Information is provided on how future TP loadings from growth were considered in this process and how the BWG will investigate and consider additional management actions over the next 5 years.

The Upper Ocklawaha River BMAP represents the collaborative effort of local stakeholders in the basin to identify current and planned management actions to achieve the TMDLS for TP. The management actions (completed, ongoing, and planned) identified in the BMAP are targeted at addressing both the pollutant loads from historical and current sources and from the estimated future loads associated with population growth and associated land use changes in the basin.

The management actions included in the BMAP by the BWG recognize and build on numerous existing programs that reduce pollutant loads to the Upper Ocklawaha River Basin. The BWG relied on the water quality and quantity programs its member organizations were already implementing as a source of projects that addressed the water quality impairments identified in the Upper Ocklawaha River Basin TMDLs. To meet the targeted pollutant load reductions, the BMAP includes specific projects from stormwater control programs (such as the SJRWMD's Environmental Resource Permit [ERP] Program), existing land acquisition, water conservation, low impact development (LID) programs, and programs in response to special areas (i.e, the Wekiva Study Area), as well as Surface Water Improvement and Management (SWIM) Program plans implemented by the SJRWMD. The activities identified in the BMAP complement and depend on these programs, but do not replace them as a mechanism to achieve the pollutant reduction goals estimated in the BMAP.

The BWG has developed the Upper Ocklawaha BMAP as a planning document that presents an overview of the issues and efforts across the basin and summarizes the implemented and planned activities addressing TP reductions in the basin. Additional documents that support the projects, studies, and programs may be included in the BMAP.

The range of management actions identified includes activities such as the following:

- *Stormwater Retrofits:*
 - Paving and drainage upgrades,
 - Failing infrastructure replacement and improvement, and
 - Sediment and debris collection boxes (baffle boxes).
- *Urban Structural Best Management Practices (BMPs):*
 - Regional wet detention stormwater ponds, and
 - Dry retention stormwater ponds.
- *Urban Nonstructural BMPs:*
 - Street sweeping, and

Final August 14, 2007

- Cleaning up pet waste.
- *Habitat Restoration:*
 - Marsh construction,
 - Wetland restoration, and
 - Gizzard shad harvesting.
- *Ordinances and Land Development Regulations (LDRs):*
 - Development guidelines,
 - Septic tank ordinances, and
 - Local stormwater rules more stringent than state or water management district rules.
- *Education and Outreach:*
 - Watershed Action Volunteers (WAV) Program,
 - Lakefront property owner's guides, and
 - Water atlases.
- *Agricultural BMPs:*
 - Crop rotation,
 - Filter strips, and
 - Exclusion of livestock from sensitive areas.

Efforts by the St. Johns River Water Management District

The projects implemented by the SJRWMD through the Lake Apopka and Upper Ocklawaha SWIM Plans have significantly reduced TP loading to impaired waters in the basin and improved aquatic habitat throughout the basin. The Lake Apopka and Upper Ocklawaha SWIM Plans were first adopted in 1987 and 1989, respectively, in compliance with the 1987 SWIM Act (Sections 373.451–373.4596, F.S.). Further reductions in TP loading resulting from restoration projects in these SWIM plans are a major component of the strategy to achieve TMDLs in the basin.

The Upper Ocklawaha River Basin SWIM area includes the Harris Chain of Lakes north of Lake Apopka and the Upper Ocklawaha River to the confluence of the Silver River near SR 40 in Marion County. The restoration efforts of both the Lake Apopka and Upper Ocklawaha SWIM Plans focus primarily on reducing nutrients and other pollutants in stormwater that flows into SWIM waterbodies from former agricultural areas (muck farms). Other efforts include in-lake treatment to reduce the recycling of nutrients by harvesting gizzard shad, re-establishing more natural water level fluctuations and flows, and restoring aquatic and wetland habitats at former muck farms.

Within the area addressed by the Upper Ocklawaha River BMAP, activities that exceed SJRWMD permitting thresholds must be authorized by an ERP. To obtain an ERP where existing ambient water quality does not meet state water quality standards, an applicant must demonstrate that the proposed activity will result in a net improvement in the parameters that do not meet water quality standards.

Final August 14, 2007

Efforts by the Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services (and Private Agricultural Producers)

In addition to the specific management actions identified in the BMAP, the implementation of agricultural BMPs in the basin contributes to pollutant load reductions. Through the Office of Agricultural Water Policy (OAWP) and Division of Forestry, DACS develops, adopts, and implements agricultural BMPs to improve water quality and water conservation. DACS has adopted by rule BMPs that target the following operations in the basin:

- *Ridge citrus (Rule 5E-1.023, F.A.C.),*
- *Leatherleaf fern (Rule 5E-1.023, F.A.C.),*
- *Interim measure for container-grown plants (Rule 5E-1.023, F.A.C.),*
- *Vegetable and agronomic crops (Rule 5M-8, F.A.C.), and*
- *Silviculture (Rule 5I-6.002, F.A.C.).*

The OAWP's BMP implementation role involves assisting agricultural producers in selecting, funding, and maintaining BMPs. OAWP staff and service providers work with producers to submit Notices of Intent (NOIs) to implement BMPs that identify the measures appropriate for their operations. Service providers also give technical assistance to producers and help implement cost-share programs that leverage regional, state, and federal funds.

Although DACS' BMP program is nonregulatory, Subsection 403.067(7)(b), F.S., requires that nonpoint pollutant sources (such as agriculture) included in a BMAP demonstrate compliance with pollutant reductions established to meet a TMDL, either by implementing BMPs or conducting water quality monitoring prescribed by DEP or a water management district. To date, producers in the Upper Ocklawaha River Basin from the Ridge citrus, container-grown plant, and fern industries have submitted NOIs (covering about 13,500 acres) to implement rule-adopted BMPs.

Efforts by the Lake County Water Authority

The LCWA is a key funding partner for local jurisdictions throughout the basin. This grant funding has enabled numerous stormwater retrofit projects identified in the BMAP. In addition, the LCWA is proposing to construct a nutrient reduction facility (NuRF) to further treat water released from Lake Apopka and provide the timely achievement of TMDL goals for Lakes Beauclair, Dora, Eustis, and Griffin.

The NuRF will eliminate an additional 65 percent of the TP load to Lake Beauclair. This reduction will positively affect Lakes Dora, Eustis, and Griffin as well, since the Lake Apopka discharge represents a significant portion of their hydrologic budget. Additional TP reduction is important because Lake Apopka's TMDL target concentration is almost twice as high as the targets for the lakes downstream. The project's estimated load reduction to Lake Beauclair is 5,000 lbs/yr, based on the remaining load to Lake Beauclair after projected improvements to Lake Apopka by current restoration efforts.

Efforts by Local Governments

Four counties and 15 towns and cities participated in developing the Upper Ocklawaha BMAP. The BMAP identifies numerous projects completed or proposed by these local

Final August 14, 2007

governments that address untreated stormwater discharges to the lakes. The stormwater retrofit projects include activities ranging from the installation of baffle boxes to the creation of detention ponds. These governments have also developed ordinances that address critical issues such as redevelopment requirements, green space, and septic tank maintenance. The efforts of these jurisdictions are an essential component of the BMAP.

All four counties (Lake, Marion, Orange, and Polk) that contribute to the Upper Ocklawaha River Basin have established comprehensive programs for addressing pollutant loads, in addition to individual stormwater retrofit and other treatment projects. Lake County and Orange County have made significant contributions to pollutant control and load reductions in the basin.

Lake County has taken a proactive approach toward TMDLs by focusing its basin studies and concentrating immediate stormwater retrofit efforts on the Upper Ocklawaha River Basin. These studies will help in the design of cost-effective projects to manage stormwater and reduce TP loads to TMDL waters. Through cost-share project partnerships, the county provides additional support to other local governments working to reduce pollutant loadings.

Orange County has also implemented a variety of programs, including the following:

- *The Clean Lakes Initiative Program (CLIP) to provide educational and financial incentives to help citizens take individual ownership of their lakefront and watershed, and*
- *An agreement by the Parks Department to reduce the use of phosphorus fertilizer and herbicide applications on all parklands.*

Marion County has initiated several programs and resource assessment activities, such as the development of a countywide Watershed Management Plan, a Water Resource Assessment and Management Study, and a Springshed Protection Program. The county's Clean Water Program is partnering with the University of Florida's Program for Resource Efficient Communities to develop and conduct seminars on LID options and results for water resources.

Polk County is not heavily developed in the area discharging to the Upper Ocklawaha River Basin. Future development for most of the area is restricted as part of the Green Swamp Area of Critical State Concern (GSACSC). Development in the remaining acreage, most of it former citrus groves, will be provided central sewer service and reuse water for irrigation.

Net Estimated Loadings of Total Phosphorus to TMDL Waters

The BWG calculated a net estimated loading for each sub-basin, beginning with the TP loads estimated in the TMDL analysis as its baseline. The BWG then factored in the TP reductions expected from the proposed management actions along with estimated TP loadings from future development (through 2010). After considering these three factors (baseline, management actions, and future growth), the BWG determined a net estimated TP load for each sub-basin.

Starting with the baseline loadings for sources of TP in each sub-basin, the BWG reviewed the estimated change in TP loading after the implementation of projects and

Final August 14, 2007

activities in this plan. This analysis factored in the estimated load reductions from implemented and planned projects and the estimated loading changes associated with future growth, resulting in a net estimated TP load for each impaired waterbody. Implemented projects are those completed as of the end of 2005. Future projects are those planned for initiation or completion after 2005. The estimated load changes from future growth are based primarily on future land use maps. These net loadings may be updated as part of BWG follow-up on BMAP implementation.

The BWG's analysis also considered changes in the tributary contribution to a waterbody's nutrient load. In general, this TP load changes proportionally with the change in upstream water quality. For example, implemented and future acquisition and restoration projects in Lake Apopka have improved water quality to the extent that the TP load to Lake Beauclair, just downstream, will be reduced by 35,752 lbs/yr. These improvements in upstream water quality are reflected in the nutrient load for each affected downstream waterbody. A net TP load for the lake or waterbody is estimated after factoring in all the projected changes in loading.

Figure AP.1 presents a map of the anticipated outcomes of BMAP implementation in the Upper Ocklawaha River Basin TMDL waters. This map illustrates the importance of addressing the TP load reductions in Lake Apopka to achieving the targeted load reductions in the downstream lakes (e.g., Beauclair, Dora, and Eustis). The SJRWMD restoration and treatment programs provide the most significant load reduction efforts in the basin. The SJRWMD's ERP permit requirements will help sustain the water quality improvements achieved through restoration. The net effect of the load reduction in Lake Apopka will be to benefit the downstream lakes by reducing the TP load coming into the lakes.

Table AP.3 presents numeric data on the anticipated outcomes of BMAP implementation and provides specific details on the current and anticipated load reductions from different types of activities for each sub-basin. The table also presents the net estimated loading of TP to TMDL waters in the Upper Ocklawaha River Basin after BMAP implementation of the proposed management actions. The data presented in the table represent only the projects that have quantifiable TP load reductions. There are many additional projects where the TP load reduction cannot be quantified.

Figure AP.1 and **Table AP.3** clearly show the large TP load reductions that will be achieved through BMAP implementation by BWG organizations. The last column of the table summarizes how more than 244,000 lbs/yr of TP are projected to be removed from the Upper Ocklawaha River Basin. Although the estimates used in the table and to create the figure are conservative (e.g., water quality improvements associated with nonquantifiable load reductions are not considered) they do indicate that additional effort is needed to achieve all of the targeted TP reductions for all impaired lakes in the basin. Additional studies and assessments are included as part of the BMAP to characterize the sources and management opportunities in these sub-basins.

The BMAP presents a plan for reducing nutrient loadings in the basin using a phased approach. During the first five-year cycle, the BWG members will focus on reducing the larger pollution sources. The BWG members will also be evaluating other pollution sources that require additional research or that represent a relatively smaller percentage contribution to the total loading.

Final August 14, 2007

Some issues, such as septic tanks, were not directly addressed during the first five years of the BMAP implementation, because of they represent a relatively small percentage of pollutant loading. The relative importance of loadings from some sources (e.g., septic tanks, future growth) increases after the implementation of the BMAP's management actions, which will reduce TP loadings by 244,349 lbs/yr. For these issues, BWG members are implementing a variety of activities. Some will conduct detailed sub-basin studies to characterize nutrient sources to the lakes and build on existing ordinances, and may, in some areas, consider additional treatment strategies (e.g., advanced septic systems design or centralized wastewater treatment facilities). Others may also revise land use development regulations or update public education strategies to address nutrient loads. In addition, the communities of Lake County, Mount Dora, Eustis, Orange County, Apopka, Ocoee, and Winter Garden are participating in an extensive effort to reduce the water quality impacts on springs and river systems as part of the Wekiva Springs Protection Effort implementing the Wekiva Parkway and Protection Act.


BWG efforts to implement the proposed management actions, monitor their implementation and water quality trends in the basin, further characterize pollutant sources, and evaluate additional load reduction options are all critical to a phased implementation approach. It is especially critical for the sub-basins that are currently not projected to achieve the TMDL target (e.g., Lakes Carlton, Harris, and Yale, and Trout Lake). The additional research and evaluation of options to be conducted by BWG members is essential. The BWG members involved in these efforts will research the issues in their communities and make the appropriate management decisions for their citizens.

With this consideration, the BMAP should be considered a working document that includes a strong plan of management actions to address the larger pollution sources and research to improve the understanding of the basin and the additional measures needed to meet the TMDL targets.

In addition, an adaptive management approach will be used during BMAP implementation to identify and make modifications to the BMAP when circumstances change, or feedback mechanisms indicate that a more effective strategy is needed. Tracking implementation, monitoring water quality and pollutant loads, and holding periodic BWG meetings to share information and expertise are key components of the adaptive management approach to be used. **Sections AP.4** and **AP.5** present details of the monitoring, tracking, and follow-up strategy.

Final August 14, 2007

FIGURE AP.1. ANTICIPATED OUTCOMES OF BMAP IMPLEMENTATION IN UPPER OCKLAWAHA RIVER BASIN TMDL WATERS



Final August 14, 2007

TABLE AP.3. SUMMARY OF NET ESTIMATED LOADINGS OF TOTAL PHOSPHORUS TO TMDL WATERS IN THE UPPER OCKLAWAHA RIVER BASIN AFTER BMAP IMPLEMENTATION

Sub-basins	Lake Apopka	Lake Beauclair	Lake Carlton (trib to Lake Beauclair)	Lake Dora	Lake Eustis	Trout Lake (trib to Lake Eustis)	Lake Harris & Little Lake Harris	Palatka (trib to Lake Harris)	Lake Griffin	Lake Yale (trib to Lake Griffin)	Basinwide Totals	
	Net Estimated Loads											
Loading information												
TMDL Baseline TP-loading (lbs/yr)	137,451	46,672	477	39,646	35,503	2,604	26,864	2,350	77,881	3,158	372,606	
Loading Changes from Implemented Projects	a. Tributary inflows	--	-26,015	--	-20,071	-10,762	--	--	--	-7,813	--	-64,661
	b. Agricultural discharges	-117,015	--	--	--	-746	--	-174	--	-22,703	--	-140,638
	c. Restoration	37,477	--	--	--	-603	--	-4,441	--	-18,747	--	-13,686
	d. Stormwater	-35	--	--	8	-313	--	-98	--	-202	--	-640
	e. Point sources or other treatment options	1,256	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	-109	1,147
	f. Explicit margin of safety	1,168	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	1,168
	(Subtotal) Estimated change from implemented projects (TP loading lbs/yr)	-77,149	-26,015	0	-20,063	-12,424	0	-4,713	0	-49,465	-109	-189,938
Loading Changes from Future Projects	a. Tributary inflows	-134	-9,746	--	-11,379	-6,114	--	-99	--	-4,310	--	-31,984
	b. Agricultural discharges	0	--	--	--	-458	-19	--	--	--	--	-477
	c. Restoration	-26,231	--	--	--	-138	-726	-2,465	--	415	--	-29,145
	d. Stormwater	0	--	--	--	-145	--	-150	-13	-185	--	-493
	e. Point sources or other treatment options	--	-5,000	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	-5,000
	f. Explicit margin of safety	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	0
	(Subtotal) Estimated change from future projects (TP loading lbs/yr)	-26,365	-14,746	0	-11,379	-6,855	-745	-2,714	-13	-4,080	0	-66,897
Estimated change from implemented and future projects (TP loading lbs/yr)	-103,514	-40,761	0	-31,442	-19,279	-745	-7,427	-13	-53,545	-109	-256,835	
Estimated change from growth (TP loading lbs/yr – 2001–2010)	0	831	240	1,263	3,040	592	2,874	346	2,694	606	12,486	
Estimated change from projects and growth (TP-loading lbs/yr)	-103,514	-39,930	240	-30,179	-16,239	-153	-4,553	333	-50,851	497	-244,349	
Net estimated TP-loading (lbs/yr)	33,937	6,742	717	9,467	19,264	2,451	22,311	2,683	27,030	3,655	128,257	
TMDL (Total Maximum Daily Load) (lbs/yr)	35,052	7,056	195	13,230	20,286	521	18,302	2,207	26,901	2,844	126,594	
Additional TP load reduction needed	0	0	522	0	0	1,930	4,009	476	129	811	7,877	

Final August 14, 2007

* TP load reductions for implemented and future projects are represented by negative values (a minus sign)

** All other TP loadings (e.g., baseline, increases, net estimated, TMDLs, and additional load reductions) needed are indicated by positive values.

*** If there is no load reduction or increase associated with a specific category of implemented or future project, a double dash " - - " is shown.

****Numbers in the Basinwide Totals column are estimates as double counting of loadings occurred during TMDL development for Lake Carlton and Lake Beauclair and for Trout Lake and Lake Eustis. Trout Lake is part of the watershed loading contributing to Lake Eustis and Lake Carlton is part of the watershed loading contributing to Lake Beauclair.

Final August 14, 2007

Cost of BMAP Implementation

The estimated cost of the management actions included in the Upper Ocklawaha BMAP totals more than \$195 million. Funding sources range from local stormwater fees to regional and state cost-share grants. BWG members will explore new opportunities for funding assistance as part of BMAP follow-up. This estimate does not include costs for the implementation of DACS programs and DOT stormwater projects.

Members of the BWG proposed projects that were part of their existing programs, as well as new projects or programs where additional effort was needed to address pollutant load reductions. In many cases the projects proposed in the BMAP are jointly funded or implemented by multiple organizations. The BWG assumed that responsible organizations considered the proposed projects cost-effective for achieving TP reductions as well as other community-based benefits (e.g., reducing flooding, eliminating direct discharges to a lake).

Specific Management Actions Included in the BMAP

Tables AP.4 through AP.10, listed below, summarize the management actions proposed by the BWG to address the TMDLs in the basin, including structural BMPs; agricultural BMPs; restoration and water quality improvement projects; regulations, ordinances, and guidelines; special studies and planning efforts; education and outreach efforts; and basic stormwater management program implementation. The tables are extensive and therefore are provided at the end of the chapter.

TABLE AP.4A. STRUCTURAL BMPs—QUANTIFIABLE LOAD REDUCTIONS

TABLE AP.4B. STRUCTURAL BMPs—LOAD REDUCTIONS NOT CURRENTLY QUANTIFIED

TABLE AP.5. AGRICULTURAL BMPs

TABLE AP.6. RESTORATION AND WATER QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS

TABLE AP.7. REGULATIONS, ORDINANCES, AND GUIDELINES

TABLE AP.8. SPECIAL STUDIES AND PLANNING EFFORTS

TABLE AP.9. EDUCATION AND OUTREACH EFFORTS

TABLE AP.10. BASIC STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION

Estimates of Future Loadings from Growth and Future Management Actions

As mentioned above, the TP loadings considered as part of the BMAP included those associated with future growth across the basin. Consequently, the management actions considered by stakeholders include pollution prevention activities that address TP loadings from new development (or redevelopment) through regulations, ordinances, or guidelines. There are also many management actions in the BMAP aimed at preventing water quality problems through public and private sector education and outreach.

The preventive management actions are considered Lake- and Stream-friendly Activities. They can include LID planning and engineering, education, and local ordinances or LDRs that protect water quality by maintaining or enhancing predevelopment water flow and reducing pollutant loads in developing and urban watersheds.

Final August 14, 2007

Tables AP.4 through **AP.10** present current Lake- and Stream-friendly Activities implemented by the BWG, but these activities are predominantly found in **Table AP.3** (regulations, ordinances, and guidelines) and **Table AP.9** (education and outreach efforts). The BWG will consider additional Lake- and Stream-friendly Activities through the following steps:

- 1. The collection of data/inventory of Lake- and Stream-friendly Activities across the Upper Ocklawaha River Basin. This will include an inventory of what each community is currently doing and/or has planned, and an assessment of the lessons learned from the implementation of these efforts (i.e., level of activity and success of activity in helping to protect and/or improve water quality).*
- 2. The distribution of a summary of the inventory and the lessons learned by BWG members during the implementation of these activities. The summary will identify incentives for and obstacles to implementation and success.*
- 3. The development of a plan for future Lake- and Stream-friendly management actions in the basin, consideration of existing and new ideas, and identification of the most effective techniques that should be considered by jurisdictions and entities in the Upper Ocklawaha River Basin to improve and/or expand the implementation of key/successful approaches.*
- 4. The creation of proposals for improved, expanded, and/or new activities by individual jurisdictions and entities, or collectively by the BWG. Proposals will also include incentives for using LID planning techniques, educational opportunities, and/or ordinance and policy changes.*

DACS also plans to address future agricultural loadings. To meet the intent of the FWRA with regard to agriculture, from 2007 to 2011 the OAWP will carry out the following activities:

- Adopt BMP manuals of statewide application for cow/calf, equine, container-grown plants, in-ground nurseries, and sod operations.*
- Intensify its efforts to sign up producers for BMP implementation in the Upper Ocklawaha River Basin. Field staff will meet with growers and grower organizations to inform them of existing and new BMP programs and opportunities for cost-share, and to assist them with BMP selection and NOI submittal.*
- Work with UF-IFAS and DEP to identify priority citrus BMPs and verify their effectiveness.*
- Develop a BMP implementation assurance program to follow up with a sample of citrus producers on whether they are implementing BMPs and keeping records according to their submitted NOIs.*
- Evaluate the need for implementation assurance programs for other commodities in the basin and develop them on a priority basis, as needed and feasible.*
- By April 2008, and annually thereafter, provide to the Upper Ocklawaha BWG an inventory of NOIs in the basin by BMP program, showing acreages or other applicable reporting metrics, and key BMPs being implemented.*
- By the end of 2011, report to the BWG on:*

Final August 14, 2007

- The findings of any citrus or other BMP effectiveness projects relevant to the basin being conducted by or in partnership with the OAWP, and
- The results and progress of any BMP implementation assurance programs being conducted by the OAWP in the basin.

AP.4. Monitoring Program

As part of the BMAP, the TWG designed a strategy for monitoring water quality and measuring pollutant loads. This strategy builds on existing water quality monitoring program commitments made by DEP, the SJRWMD, Lake County, Orange County, WAV volunteers, and the LCWA. The strategy addresses monitoring design, quality assurance (QA), data management, and data interpretation techniques that measure progress in achieving the TMDLs, while allowing for evaluation and feedback that better refine the monitoring strategy and provide information to better define how to achieve the TMDLs. The objectives of the monitoring strategy are as follows:

- *Primary Objective: Monitor TMDL waterbodies to:*
 - Determine whether the target TP concentrations used to develop the TMDLs are being achieved, and
 - Determine whether expected improvements in other water quality indicators are being achieved.
- *Secondary Objective: Measure loadings of TMDL targeted pollutants as:*
 - Tributary loadings, and
 - Loadings associated with specific sources or projects, as feasible.

A network of stations representative of the impaired lakes, the tributaries between the lakes, and the Palatlahaha River are monitored for the water quality indicators listed in **Table AP.11**. Information provided by the monitoring network will be useful in evaluating the cost-effectiveness of load reduction strategies, modifying existing and selecting future load reduction strategies, coordinating agency/group monitoring efforts to reduce duplication and conserve resources, and increasing the understanding of the relationship between pollutant loads and waterbody response.

Data collected by the network are maintained by DEP in a central database available to partners, and must meet QA requirements set by DEP. Additional interagency data comparisons and QA checks will be conducted as practical.

Observations of water quality conditions and trends will be reported to the BWG and the public at least annually. A more complete analysis of trends in the progress made toward achieving designated use will be made on a five-year basis, corresponding with DEP's watershed management cycle.

Final August 14, 2007

TABLE AP.11. CORE AND SUPPLEMENTAL WATER QUALITY INDICATORS

WATER QUALITY INDICATORS	LAKES	CANALS	PALATLAKAHA RIVER
Core Indicators			
Biological Oxygen Demand (BOD)			√
Chlorophyll <i>a</i> (Chl- <i>a</i>)	√	√	√
Dissolved Oxygen (DO)			√
Stream Condition Index (SCI)			√
Total Nitrogen (TN)	√	√	√
Total Phosphorus (TP)	√	√	√
Trophic Condition per the Trophic State Index (TSI)	√		
Supplemental Indicators			
Algal Biomass	√		
Alkalinity	√	√	√
BOD			√
Clarity Measured as Secchi depth	√	√	√
Color	√		
Conductivity	√	√	√
Dissolved Oxygen (DO)	√	√	
pH	√	√	√
Temperature	√	√	√
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	√	√	
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	√	√	√
Turbidity	√	√	√
Unionized Ammonia	√	√	√
Field Conditions during Sampling	√	√	√

AP.5. Tracking and Follow-up Actions

BMAP implementation will be a long-term process. Some key projects with significant estimated load reductions will extend well beyond the first five years of BMAP implementation. This means that TMDLs established for the basin likely will not be achieved in the near term. The BWG will track its implementation efforts and monitor water quality in TMDL waterbodies (through existing water quality monitoring programs), to ensure that the BMAP is carried out and to measure its effectiveness. The BWG will meet periodically (approximately every six months) to discuss implementation issues, consider new information, and determine other management actions needed for waterbodies that are not projected to meet their TMDLs.

Each entity responsible for implementing management actions as part of the BMAP will complete an annual report for submittal to the BWG and DEP. The report will track the implementation status of any management actions listed in the BMAP and document additional management actions undertaken to further the water quality improvements in the basin. The report will primarily comprise a table of data elements such as the following:

- *BMAP project,*
- *Affected area,*
- *Brief description,*
- *Project start/end,*

Final August 14, 2007

- *Project/activity status,*
- *TP removal estimate,*
- *Project monitoring results, and*
- *Comments.*

The BWG will review the annual reports to assess progress in meeting the goals of the BMAP. At its semiannual meetings, the BWG will also develop follow-up steps or modifications to the agreed-on management actions as necessary to achieve the targeted pollutant reductions.

Adaptive management involves setting up a mechanism for making course corrections in the BMAP when circumstances change or feedback mechanisms indicate that a more effective strategy is needed. The FWRA requires that the plan be revised, as appropriate, in collaboration with basin stakeholders. All or part of a revised BMAP must be adopted by secretarial order. Adaptive management measures include the following:

- *Procedures to determine whether additional cooperative actions are needed,*
- *Criteria/process for determining whether and when plan components need to be revised due to changes in costs, environmental impacts, social effects, watershed conditions, or other factors, and*
- *Descriptions of the BWG's role after BMAP completion.*

Tracking implementation, monitoring water quality and pollutant loads, and holding periodic BWG meetings to share information and expertise are key components of adaptive management.

AP.6. Commitment to Plan Implementation

While the BMAP is linked by statute to permitting and other enforcement processes that target individual entities, successful implementation requires that local stakeholders willingly and consistently work together to achieve adopted TMDLs. This collaboration fosters the sharing of ideas, information, and resources. The members of the Upper Ocklawaha BWG have demonstrated their willingness to confer with and support each other in their efforts.

BWG members have signed individual statements of commitment to BMAP implementation, or adopted resolutions that were collected and kept by DEP as part of the record of BMAP development and implementation. **Figure AP.2** provides an example of the statement of commitment, and **Table AP-12** (at the end of this chapter) lists the signatories to the BMAP.

FIGURE AP.2. COMMITMENT TO BMAP IMPLEMENTATION

2007

UPPER OCKLAWAHA RIVER BASIN MANAGEMENT ACTION PLAN

STATEMENT OF COMMITMENT TO PLAN IMPLEMENTATION

The Upper Ocklawaha River Basin Management Action Plan (BMAP) was finalized as a consensus document on April 26, 2007, by authorized representatives of the agencies and organizations listed as members of the Upper Ocklawaha River Basin Working Group (BWG).

The signatories of the BMAP agree that, as applicable, their organizations and agencies will:

- Seek the necessary approvals and funding to implement the consensus management actions identified in the BMAP, and implement those actions as required approvals and funding are secured.
- Pursuant to the process agreed upon by the BWG, track the implementation of management actions for which they are responsible to ensure that the BMAP is carried out.
- Inform DEP and the BWG of any permanent obstacles to carrying out management actions for which they are responsible, including technical, funding, and legal obstacles.
- Conduct water quality monitoring according to the monitoring strategy developed by the Technical Working Group and approved by the BWG.
- Continue to use a coordinated and comprehensive watershed management approach to address and achieve TMDL-related pollutant load reductions and water quality improvements.
- Continue to communicate and coordinate actions and funding across agencies and programs with regard to BMAP implementation.

Final August 14, 2007

TABLE AP.4A. STRUCTURAL BMPs—QUANTIFIABLE LOAD REDUCTIONS

Project Number - Project Name	General Location / Description	Estimated TP Load Reduction (lbs/yr)	Waterbody Identification (WBID) Number	Lead Entity / Funding Source / Project Partners	Project Cost	Project Status / Completion Date or Anticipated Completion Date
DORA04 - SR 500 US 441-Basin 300A	Lake Saunders / US 441 from Lake Eustis Dr. to County Road (CR) 44B Basin 300A. Exfiltration trench. No increase in TP with road improvement.	3.04	2831B	DOT, District 5 / Florida Legislature / --	Not available	Ongoing / Projected completion 8/2007
DORA05 - SR 500 US 441-Basin 300A,B,C,D	Lakes Saunders and Woodward / US 441 from Lake Eustis Dr. to CR 44B - Basin 300A, B, C, & D.	-10.51	2831B	DOT, District 5 / Florida Legislature / --	Not available	Ongoing / Projected completion 8/2007
DORA09 - State Road 19 in Tavares-System 1	Lake Eustis / SR 19 from 1.9 miles south of US 441 to US 441 - System 1 (Basins 1-4). Wet pond detention.	-2.02	2831B	DOT, District 5 / Florida Legislature / --	Not available	Complete / Complete
DORA10 - State Road 19 in Tavares-System II	Dora Canal / SR 19 from 1.9 miles south of US 441 to US 441 - System II (Basins 1 & 2). Wet pond detention. No increase in TP load with road improvement.	1.19	2831B	DOT, District 5 / Florida Legislature / --	Not available	Complete / Complete
DORA11 - State Road 19 in Tavares-System III	Dora Canal / SR 19 from 1.9 miles south of US 441 to US 441 - System III (Basins 1 & 2). Wet pond detention. No increase in TP load with road improvement.	7.78	2831B	DOT, District 5 / Florida Legislature / --	Not available	Complete / Complete
EUS02 - Haynes Creek Park Retrofit	Haynes Creek Park located on South Haynes Creek Rd. near Ocklawaha Rd. / Dry retention pond and about 400 ft. of retention ditches with ditch blocks along South Haynes Creek Rd. Site is county park in single-family residence neighborhood. Park captures runoff from 8.2-acre watershed via South Haynes Creek Rd.	6.40	2817A; 2817B	Lake County Public Works / Lake County Stormwater Assessment - 50%; Legislature - 50% (4 project total: \$185,851 - Lake County Stormwater assessment; \$185,851) / --	Design* - \$16,759.25 construction* - \$92,925.75 (4 project total: design - \$67,037, construction - \$371,703)	Complete / 2004
EUS06 - Eustis Street/Ward Avenue Stormwater Facility	Eustis St. and Ward Ave. / Divert stormwater runoff to dry detention pond via storm sewer retrofit for total treatment and storage. Divert stormwater runoff to pond instead of discharge into Lake Eustis.	36.26	2817B	City of Eustis / LCWA - 50% ; Legislature - 50% / LCWA / DEP	\$355,550	Complete / 8/1/2003
EUS07 - Salem Street and Magnolia Avenue Retrofit	Salem St. and Magnolia Ave. / Divert stormwater runoff to dry detention pond via storm sewer retrofit for total treatment and storage. Divert stormwater runoff to pond instead of discharge into Lake Eustis.	62.54	2817B	City of Eustis / DOT - \$600,000; EUSTIS - \$150,000 / DOT	\$750,000	Complete / 2001
EUS08 - South Grove Street and Palm Avenue Stormwater Facility	South Grove St. Eustis / Divert stormwater runoff to dry detention pond via storm sewer retrofit for total treatment and storage. Divert stormwater runoff to pond instead of discharge into Lake Eustis.	32.41	2817B	City of Eustis/LCWA / LCWA - \$56,000; EUSTIS - \$58,700 / --	\$114,700	Complete / 2002
EUS09 - Barnes Avenue and Center Street Retrofit	Barnes Ave. and Center St. / Divert stormwater runoff to dry detention pond via storm sewer retrofit for total treatment and storage. Divert stormwater runoff to pond instead of discharge into Lake Eustis.	4.84	2817B	City of Eustis / Eustis - \$100,000 / --	\$100,000	Complete / 2003
EUS10 - Stevens Avenue Retrofit	Stevens Ave. and Donnelly St. / Divert stormwater runoff to dry detention pond via storm sewer retrofit for total treatment and storage. Construction of new storm sewers. Divert runoff prior to discharge into Lake Eustis to new detention pond at Stevens Ave. and Donnelly St.	40.64	2817B	City of Eustis / DOT - \$990,000; Eustis - \$75,000 / DOT	\$1,065,000	Complete / 2006

Final August 14, 2007

Project Number - Project Name	General Location / Description	Estimated TP Load Reduction (lbs/yr)	Waterbody Identification (WBID) Number	Lead Entity / Funding Source / Project Partners	Project Cost	Project Status / Completion Date or Anticipated Completion Date
EUS11 - Russell Avenue Retrofit	Russell Ave. / Divert stormwater runoff to dry detention pond via storm sewer retrofit for total treatment and storage. Divert stormwater runoff to pond instead of discharge into Lake Eustis.	30.97	2817B	City of Eustis / LCWA -50%;Eustis - 50% / LCWA	150,000	Complete / 7/1/2004
EUS12 - Hazzard Avenue Retrofit	Hazzard Ave. / Divert stormwater runoff to wet retention pond via storm sewer retrofit for total treatment and storage. Divert stormwater runoff to pond instead of discharge into Lake Eustis.	14.02	2817B	City of Eustis / LCWA - 50%;Eustis - 50% / LCWA	\$76,539	Complete / 7/1/2004
EUS13 - South Grove Street and Steven Avenue Retrofit	Intersection South Grove St. and Steven Ave. in Eustis / Stormwater retrofit. Exfiltration trenches.	14	2817B	City of Eustis / Eustis Stormwater Utility Fee / --	\$100,000	Complete / 4/15/2006
EUS14 - SR 500 US 441-Basin A	Lake Eustis / US 441 from 0.2 miles west of Lake Shore Blvd. to Lake Eustis Dr. - Basin A. Wet pond detention. No increase in TP with road improvement.	26.33	2817B	DOT, District 5 / Florida Legislature / --	Not available	Complete / Complete
EUS15 - SR 500 US 441-Basin C	Lake Eustis / US 441 from 0.2 miles west of Lake Shore Blvd. to Lake Eustis Dr. - Basin C. Wet pond detention. No increase in TP with road improvement.	3.9	2817B	DOT, District 5 / Florida Legislature / --	Not available	Complete / Complete
EUS16 - SR 500 US 441-Basin D	Lake Eustis / US 441 from 0.2 miles west of Lake Shore Blvd. to Lake Eustis Dr. - Basin D. Wet pond detention. No increase in TP load with road improvement.	-1.47	2817B	DOT, District 5 / Florida Legislature / --	Not available	Complete / Complete
EUS17 - SR 500 US 441-Basin E	Lake Eustis / US 441 from 0.2 miles west of Lake Shore Blvd. to Lake Eustis Dr. - Basin E. Wet pond detention. No increase in TP with road improvement.	15.19	2817B	DOT, District 5 / Florida Legislature / --	Not available	Complete / Complete
EUS18 - SR 500 US 441-System C	Lake Eustis / US 441 from 4.0 miles southwest of College Dr. to Lake Shore Blvd. - System C. Wet pond detention. No increase in TP with road improvement.	21.15	2817B	DOT, District 5 / Florida Legislature / --	Not available	Complete / Complete
EUS19 - State Road 19 in Tavares-System IV	Lake Eustis / US 441 from 4.0 miles southwest of College Dr. to Lake Shore Blvd. - System IV (Basin 2). Wet pond detention. No increase in TP load with road improvement.	9.82	2817B	DOT, District 5 / Florida Legislature / --	Not available	Complete / Complete
EUS20 - SR 500 US 441	Lake Juanita / US 441 from Lake Eustis Dr. to CR 44B. Wet pond detention. No increase in TP load with road improvement.	1.85	2817B	DOT, District 5 / Florida Legislature / --	Not available	Ongoing / Projected completion 8/2007
EUS21 - SR 500 US 441	Lake Juanita / US 441 from Lake Eustis Dr. to CR 44B. Wet pond detention. No increase in TP with road improvement.	3.28	2817B	DOT, District 5 / Florida Legislature / --	Not available	Ongoing / Projected completion 8/2007
EUS22 - SR 500 US 441-System D	Lake Eustis / US 441 from 4.0 miles southwest of College Dr. to Lake Shore Blvd. - System D- No detention. No increase in TP load with road improvement.	-1.99	2817B	DOT, District 5 / Florida Legislature / --	Not available	Complete / Complete
EUS23 - South Bay Street and Eustis Street Retrofit	Intersection South Bay St. and Eustis St. in Eustis / Stormwater retrofit. Divert stormwater runoff to dry detention pond via storm sewer retrofit for total treatment and storage. Divert stormwater runoff to pond instead of discharge into Lake Eustis.	80	2817B	City of Eustis / LCWA - \$289,000;DEP-\$155,000;SJRWMD- \$206,000 / LCWA / DEP / SJRWMD	\$650,000	Complete / 7/20/2006
EUS24 - North Bay Street and Clifford	Intersection North Bay St. and Clifford Ave. in Eustis / Stormwater retrofit. Divert stormwater runoff to dry	51	2817B	City of Eustis / LCWA -\$327,250; Eustis-\$327,250 / LCWA / DEP /	\$654,500	Ongoing / Projected completion 2007

Final August 14, 2007

Project Number - Project Name	General Location / Description	Estimated TP Load Reduction (lbs/yr)	Waterbody Identification (WBID) Number	Lead Entity / Funding Source / Project Partners	Project Cost	Project Status / Completion Date or Anticipated Completion Date
Avenue Retrofit	detention pond via storm sewer retrofit for total treatment and storage. Divert stormwater runoff to pond instead of discharge into Lake Eustis.			SJRWMD		
GRIF05 - Lazy Oaks Retrofit	Lazy Oaks community located on western side of Lake Griffin, on shore of lake. / Lake Griffin basin retrofit projects. Exfiltration trench. Rental cottages in Lazy Oak community and single-family residential development on western side of Lake Griffin. Steep slopes convey stormwater as sheetflow over paved surface within Lazy Oaks. Adjacent subdivision with fairly large lots. Stormwater from 4-acre area conveyed by roadside swales to 12-inch outfall pipe into Lake Griffin. Exfiltration system will retain 80% of annual runoff volume, corresponding to 0.28 to 0.45 inches of runoff volume. 490 feet of exfiltration trench proposed.	19	2814A	Lake County Public Works / Lake County Stormwater Assessment - 50%; Legislature - 50% (4 project total: \$185,851 - Lake County Stormwater assessment; \$185,851 - Lake County Water Authority stormwater grant) / LCWA / DEP	design* - \$16,759.25 construction* - \$92,925.75 (4 project total: design - \$67,037, construction - \$371,703)	Complete / 2004
GRIF06 - Griffwood Community Retrofit	Griffwood Community Mobile Home Park located on western side of Lake Griffin. / Lake Griffin basin retrofit projects. Exfiltration trench. Site has steep slopes and dense development. Exfiltration with drainage inlets located in roadway at bottom of hill. Exfiltration system designed to treat first 0.5 inch of runoff, which represents 76% of annual runoff volume. System comprises 440 feet of 3-foot exfiltration system.	33.00	2814A	Lake County Public Works / Lake County Stormwater Assessment - 50%; Legislature - 50% (4 project total: \$185,851 - Lake County Stormwater assessment; \$185,851 - Lake County Water Authority stormwater grant) / LCWA / DEP	design* - \$16,759.25 construction* - \$92,925.75 (4 project total: design - \$67,037, construction - \$371,703)	Complete / 2004
GRIF07 - Brittany Estates Retrofit	Brittany Estates Mobile Home Park community located on southern side of Lake Griffin / Lake Griffin basin retrofit project. Exfiltration trench and expansion of existing retention pond. Densely populated mobile home park with steep slopes. Existing dry detention pond at bottom of hill that overflowed during heavy storms. Roads have inverted crown configuration that convey stormwater. Exfiltration system and larger dry detention pond to treat runoff. Exfiltration will treat first 0.5 inch of runoff from 4.65-acre upper contributing basin, representing 76% of annual runoff volume. 221 feet of 3-foot exfiltration pipe. Lower 5.1-acre basin fitted with 240 feet of 3-foot exfiltration pipe. Shallow berm along Lake Griffin to direct runoff to larger redesigned dry detention pond. Existing 6-inch outfall pipe replaced with control structure, headwall, and new pipe.	12.50	2814A	Lake County Public Works / Lake County Stormwater Assessment - 50%; Legislature - 50% (4 project total: \$185,851 - Lake County Stormwater assessment; \$185,851 - Lake County Water Authority stormwater grant) / LCWA / DEP	design* - \$16,759.25 construction* - \$92,925.75 (4 project total: design - \$67,037, construction - \$371,703)	Complete / 2005
GRIF10 - Whispering Pines Regional Stormwater Retrofit	Whispering Pines Basin / Stormwater retrofit. Construction of 2 stormwater ponds. Expected 66% reduction in TP.	130	2814A	Leesburg / Leesburg - 50%; LCWA - 50% / LCWA / DEP	\$1.5 million	Ongoing / Projected completion 12/1/2007
GRIF12 - Lake Griffin State Park Retrofit	Lake Griffin State Park / Stormwater retrofit.	11.0	2814A	DEP / DEP - 50%; LCWA - 50% / LCWA	\$82,535	Complete / 6/1/2004
GRIF13 - SR 500 US 441-Basin 100	Lake Griffin / US 441 from west of Griffin Rd. to east of Perkins St. - Basin 100. Wet pond detention. No increase in TP load with road improvement.	54.66	2814A	DOT, District 5 / Florida Legislature / --	Not available	Pending / Projected start 9/2008

Final August 14, 2007

Project Number - Project Name	General Location / Description	Estimated TP Load Reduction (lbs/yr)	Waterbody Identification (WBID) Number	Lead Entity / Funding Source / Project Partners	Project Cost	Project Status / Completion Date or Anticipated Completion Date
GRIF14 - SR 500 US 441-Basin 200	Lake Griffin / US 441 from West of Griffin Rd. to east of Perkins St. - Basin 200. Wet pond detention. No increase in TP load with road improvement.	74.06	2814A	DOT, District 5 / Florida Legislature / --	Not available	Pending / Projected start 9/2008
GRIF15 - SR 500 US 441-Basin 2	Lake Griffin / SR 500/US 441 Leesburg - Basin 2. No increase in TP load with road improvement.	9.59	2814A	DOT, District 5 / Florida Legislature / --	Not available	Pending / Projected start 9/2008
GRIF22 - Mid-Florida Lakes Mobile Home Park Retrofit	Mid-Florida Lake Mobile Home Park located east of Lake Griffin along Haines Creek / Exfiltration trenches. Exfiltration trench will operate as off-line retention system.	42.00	2817A	Lake County Public Works / Lake County Stormwater Assessment - 50%;LCWA - 35%; Legislature - 4% / LCWA / DEP	\$390,000	Complete / 9/2005
HAR01 - Lakeshore Drive Stormwater Project	Near Venetian Gardens Canals - East Dixie Ave. Leesburg / Stormwater detention pond. Removes nutrient loading from Venetian Canals and Lake Harris.	2.20	2838A	City of Leesburg / Leesburg - 34.5% ;LCWA - 34.5% ;Legislature - 31% / LCWA / DEP	\$185,756	Complete / 7/1/2003
HAR04 - SR 500 US 441-System A	Lake Harris / US 441 from 4.0 miles southwest of College Dr. to Lake Shore Blvd. - System A. Dry retention pond. No increase in TP load with road improvement.	12.91	2838A	DOT, District 5 / Florida Legislature / --	Not available	Complete / Complete
HAR05 - SR 500 US 441-System B1	Lake Harris / US 441 from 4.0 miles southwest of College Dr. to Lake Shore Blvd. - System B1. Dry retention pond. No increase in TP with road improvement.	17.95	2838A	DOT, District 5 / Florida Legislature / --	Not available	Complete / Complete
HAR06 - SR 500 US 441-System B2	Lake Harris / US 441 from 4.0 miles southwest of College Dr. to Lake Shore Blvd. - System B2. Wet pond detention. No increase in TP load with road improvement.	9.58	2838A	DOT, District 5 / Florida Legislature / --	Not available	Complete / Complete
HAR07 - SR 500 US 441-Basin 1	Lake Harris / SR 500 - US 441 Leesburg - Basin 1. No increase in TP load with road improvement.	12.52	2838A	DOT, District 5 / Florida Legislature / --	Not available	Complete / Complete
HAR08 - SR 500 US 441-Basin 3	Lake Harris / SR 500 - US 441 Leesburg - Basin 3. No increase in TP load with road improvement.	11.02	2838A	DOT, District 5 / Florida Legislature / --	Not available	Complete / Complete
HAR09 - SR 500 US 441-Basin 4	Lake Harris / SR 500 - US 441 Leesburg - Basin 4. No increase in TP with road improvement.	3.92	2838A	DOT, District 5 / Florida Legislature / --	Not available	Complete / Complete
HAR10 - SR 500 US 441-Basin 5	Lake Harris / SR 500 - US 441 Leesburg - Basin 5. No increase in TP with road improvement.	21.85	2838A	DOT, District 5 / Florida Legislature / --	Not available	Complete / Complete
HAR11 - SR 500 US 441-Basin 6	Lake Harris / SR 500 - US 441 Leesburg - Basin 6. No increase in TP with road improvement.	4.5	2838A	DOT, District 5 / Florida Legislature / --	Not available	Complete / Complete
HAR13 - Hollondel Road Stormwater Pond	Lake Harris Basin / Stormwater pond. SJRWMD is assisting with purchase of property. Design of pond is next step.	150	2838A; 2838B	Lake County Public Works / Lake County Stormwater Assessment; SJRWMD / SJRWMD	\$140,000 design cost	Ongoing / Ongoing
LAP09 - Jones Avenue Regional Stormwater Management Project Section	North of Lake Apopka, city of Apopka, north shore of Lake Apopka / Jones Avenue Regional Stormwater Management Project in northern part of north shore area is a 15-acre regional wet detention pond and 20-acre wetland restoration project located in Section 19,20, 21;Township 20S;Range 27E. It serves an area of 1,000 acres during 100-year flood elevation. It treats 0.35 inches over 500 acres. Project reduces maintenance of ditches along Jones Ave. Improves	945	2835D	Orange County Public Works / Orange County - \$4.3 million; SJRWMD Ad valorem - \$300,000 (plus land costs for both partners) / SJRWMD Lands Division	\$4,600,000	Ongoing / Projected completion 8/2007

Final August 14, 2007

Project Number - Project Name	General Location / Description	Estimated TP Load Reduction (lbs/yr)	Waterbody Identification (WBID) Number	Lead Entity / Funding Source / Project Partners	Project Cost	Project Status / Completion Date or Anticipated Completion Date
	water quality: removes TP and TSS. Reduces stormwater runoff from hazardous waste site. Habitat restoration. Net decrease in TP and other parameters.					
LAP14 - SR-50-Basin G	Johns Lake / SR-50 from west of Hancock Rd. to east of Turnpike -Basin G. Wet pond detention.	-2.8	2835B	DOT, District 5 / Florida Legislature / --	Not available	Pending / Projected start date 4/2007
LAP15 - SR-50-Basin H	Johns Lake / SR-50 from west of Hancock Rd. to east of Turnpike -Basin H. Wet pond detention. No increase in TP load with road improvement	13.46	2835B	DOT, District 5 / Florida Legislature / --	Not available	Pending / Projected start date 4/2007
LAP16 - SR-50-Basin I	Johns Lake / SR-50 from west of Hancock Rd. to east of Turnpike -Basin I. Dry detention pond. No increase in TP load with road improvement.	-0.02	2835B	DOT, District 5 / Florida Legislature / --	Not available	Pending / Projected start date 4/2007
LAP18 - Berg Drive	Lake Apopka / Stormwater retrofit Section 16; Township 20; Range 27. Exfiltration chambers for discharge of stormwater. Percolation of existing stormwater through ground.	1.9	2835D	Orange County Public Works / Orange County Public Works / --	\$207,000	Complete / 6/1/2000
LAP19 - Water Street	Lake Apopka Basin / Stormwater retrofit Section 23; Township 22; Range 27. Retention pond. Treatment and or percolation of stormwater.	22.8	2835D	Orange County Public Works / Orange County Public Works / --	\$104,000	Complete / 7/1/2000
LAP25 - Pioneer Key Regional Stormwater Project	Pioneer Key Mobile Home Park / Regional stormwater improvements with water quality enhancements. Construction of regional wet detention stormwater treatment pond. Reduce pollutant loading to Lake Apopka. Project completed in 2 phases. Pioneer Key Regional Stormwater Facility funded by DEP. Additional work will include reconstruction of roadways, installation of storm sewers, sanitary sewer, potable water, and sidewalks within road right of way. Second phase of construction to Pioneer Key II Mobile Home Park funded by Orange County Community Block Grant (CDBG).	134	2835D	Ocoee Public Works / City of Ocoee and private property owner - 67.3%; Orange County Community Development Block Grant - 32.7%; DEP-\$900,000 / Orange County Community Development Block Grant Program; DEP	\$2,500,000	Complete / 10/1/2006
PAL14 - US 27-Basin 1	Big Creek / US 27 from US 192 to North Boggy Marsh Rd. - Basin 1. Wet pond detention. No increase in TP load with road improvement.	13.3	2839	DOT, District 5 / Florida Legislature / --	Not available	Ongoing / Projected completion 1/2008

Final August 14, 2007

TABLE AP.4B. STRUCTURAL BMPs—LOAD REDUCTIONS NOT CURRENTLY QUANTIFIED

Project Number - Project Name	General Location / Description	WBID Number	Lead Entity / Funding Source / Project Partners	Project Cost	Project Status / Completion Date or Anticipated Completion Date
ABC02 - Lois Drive baffle box	Lois Dr. - unincorporated Lake County / Baffle box included with drainage improvements.	2835C	Lake County Public Works / Lake County Stormwater Assessment / --	\$150,000	Complete / 2005
CLR01 - Baffle boxes	Throughout city of Clermont / 7 baffle boxes with hydrocarbon absorbent pillows installed. Each unit 15 ft. by 5.33 ft. by 7 ft. deep. Units installed recently; no estimate of debris and sediment removed.	2839	City of Clermont / City of Clermont / --	Not available	Ongoing / ongoing
DORA01 - Lake Dora Avenue improvement project	Lake Dora Ave. in Mt Dora (Lake Dora - northeast shore) / Failing infrastructure replacement and improvement. Failing infrastructure - twin corrugated metal pipes in residential yard. Pipes were part of stormwater conveyance system discharging untreated runoff from Old Hwy. 441. Continuous deflective separation (CDS) unit removes sediments and particulates. Pollutants targeted were organic matter (tree litter) and sediment fines.	2831B	Lake County Public Works / Lake County Stormwater Assessment / --	Design - \$45,270 Construction - \$82,640	Complete / 2003
DORA02 - Tavares stormwater retrofit	Downtown Tavares / Reduce sediment input to Lake Dora.	2831B	Tavares / Tavares -34.5%;LCWA - 34.5%;Legislature - 31% / LCWA / DEP	\$60,000	Complete / 2004
DORA03 - Old Hwy 441 and Lake Dora	North side of Lakeshore Dr., old Hwy. 441 east of Tavares / Deteriorating ditch and pipe system discharged stormwater from Old Hwy. 441 to Lake Dora. Upgrade of inlets and construction of wet detention pond to treat highway runoff. Reduce stormwater inputs to Lake Dora.	2831B	Lake County Public Works / Lake County Stormwater Assessment / --	\$200,000	Complete / 2003
DORA16 - Lake Gertrude Outfall Improvements	Lake Gertrude sub-basin / Proposed improvements to Lake Gertrude outfall. Lake Gertrude is tributary discharge to Lake Dora. Lake County and Mt. Dora have interlocal agreement to authorize project.	2823A; 2831B	City of Mt. Dora / Not available / Lake County Public Works	\$635,000	Ongoing / Ongoing
EUS05 - Stormwater Retrofit	North Tavares / Sediment and debris collection box. Baffle box.	2817B	City of Tavares/LCWA / Tavares - 34.5%; LCWA - 34.5%; Legislature- 31% / DEP	\$30,000	Complete / 1/1/2004
GRIF08 - Canal Street Retrofit	Canal St. / Stormwater retrofit, construct 2.4-acre pond.	2814A	Leesburg / Leesburg - 75%; LCWA - 25% / LCWA	\$200,000	Ongoing / 7/1/2007
GRIF16 - Picciola Road ditches	Picciola Road - unincorporated Lake County / Recontouring of ditches. Addition of ditch blocks.	2814A	Lake County Public Works / Lake County Stormwater Assessment / --	\$150,000	Pending / Construction planned for 2007
GRIF17 - Harbor Oaks retrofit	Harbor Oaks / Exfiltration system installed.	2814A	Lake County Public Works / Lake County Stormwater Assessment / --	\$200,000	Pending / Construction planned for 2007
GRIF18 - Lakeside Village Retrofit	Lakeside Village / Underdrain system placed in recontoured ditches located along shoreline.	2814A	Lake County Public Works / Lake County Stormwater Assessment / --	\$400,000	Complete / May 2007
GRIF20 - Lake Griffin Marina Improvements	Lake Griffin Marina / Swale improvements planned.	2814A	Lake County Public Works / Lake County Stormwater Assessment / --	\$150,000	Pending / Construction planned for 2008
GRIF21 - CR 466B Swale Improvements	CR 466B / Swale improvements planned for 2008.	2814A	Lake County Public Works / Lake County Stormwater Assessment / --	Not available	Pending / Construction planned for 2008
HAR14 - Dead River	Lake Harris Basin / Stormwater park. Lake County Public Works is	2838A;	Lake County Public Works / Lake	Not	Ongoing / Ongoing

Final August 14, 2007

Project Number - Project Name	General Location / Description	WBID Number	Lead Entity / Funding Source / Project Partners	Project Cost	Project Status / Completion Date or Anticipated Completion Date
Road Stormwater Park	partnering with Public Lands to purchase property.	2838B; 2817C	County Stormwater Assessment / Lake County Public Lands	available	
LAP21 - Burch's Quarters Community Development Project	Lake Apopka Basin / Paving and drainage upgrades - Section 22; Township 22; Range 27. Resurfacing and overbuilding of existing pavement; installation of proposed storm sewer system, cross drains; construction of dry retention pond and associated outfall system. Treatment of stormwater by percolation into ground.	2835D	Orange County Public Works / Housing and Community Development Block Grant / --	\$1,356,000	Complete / 11/1/2006
LAP22 - East Bay Streets Community Development Project	Lake Apopka Basin / Paving and drainage upgrades - Section 13, 24; Township 22; Range 27. Roadway improvements will include resurfacing and overbuilding of existing pavement. Miami curbing and sidewalks will be installed based on proposed typical section. Drainage improvements include installation of proposed storm sewer, cross drains, construction of retention ponds and associated outfall system.	2835D	Orange County Public Works / Housing and Community Development Block Grant / --	\$1,700,000 estimate	Pending / Projected start date 6/1/2007
LAP28 - Shore Drive and Lake Blvd-Johns Lake Retrofit	Shore Drive and Lake Blvd. / Exfiltration and outfall improvements.	2835B	Lake County Public Works / Lake County Stormwater Assessment / --	\$100,000	Pending / Construction planned for 2008
LAP29 - Lake Fuller Retention Pond	Lake Fuller watershed / Runoff from southern Apopka was redirected to 10-acre detention pond. Stormwater discharge removed from Lake Fuller. Lake is within watershed of Lake Apopka and indirectly benefits Lake Apopka through reduction of stormwater runoff and loading from watershed.	2835D	City of Apopka / City of Apopka / -	Not available	Complete / Complete
PAL15 - Lake Minneola Shores Ditch Reconstruction	Lake Minneola Shores-CR561A / Ditches in Minneola Shores (CR 561A) were recontoured, had paved bottoms removed, and ditch blocks were added. Project provides for capture of runoff and enhanced infiltration.	2839	Lake County Public Works / Lake County Stormwater Assessment / --	\$200,000	Complete / 2004
PAL16 - Lakeshore Drive Clermont Retrofit	Lakeshore Dr. in Clermont / Exfiltration system constructed. Required recontouring of ditches and reworking of road shoulder. Project provides for capture of runoff and enhanced infiltration.	2839	Lake County Public Works / Lake County Stormwater Assessment / --	\$180,000	Complete / 2005
PAL17 - Elbert Street and Virginia Street Swale	Elbert St. (Lake Minnehaha) and Virginia St. (Lake Minneola) in Clermont / Swale and swale blocks added. Projects will provide capture of runoff and enhanced infiltration.	2839	Lake County Public Works / Lake County Stormwater Assessment / --	\$100,000	Pending / Projected start 2008
Tavares02 - Baffle Boxes	Tavares / Baffle boxes have been placed in many of direct stormwater discharges into lakes. City has installed more than 10 baffle boxes during past 5 years. Funds were provided by LCWA and DEP. Boxes collect sediments and debris and prevent their entry into lakes. May remove some TP if attached to sediment.	2831B; 2817B	City of Tavares / City of Tavares / --	Not available	Ongoing / Ongoing
TROUT03 - Trowell Avenue Baffle Boxes	Lake Umatilla watershed / Installation of 2 baffle boxes at edge of Lake Umatilla to catch sediment carried in stormwater before it enters Lake Umatilla. Lake Umatilla drains into Trout Lake via Hicks Ditch. Funding for project was supplied by community block grant obtained with assistance of SJRWMD.	2819A	City of Umatilla / Not available / SJRWMD	Not available	Complete / Complete
TROUT04 - Kentucky Avenue Retention Pond	Kentucky Avenue-Lake Umatilla watershed / Retention pond located on Kentucky Ave. will reduce stormwater inputs into Lake Umatilla. Lake Umatilla drains into Trout Lake via Hicks Ditch.	2819A	City of Umatilla / FEMA-EI Nino grant / State	\$1,468,320	Complete / Complete
TROUT06 - Getford Road Stormwater Park	Trout Lake Basin / Lake County stormwater master plan implementation. Joint project between Lake County and city of Eustis. Construction of stormwater pond with passive park features.	2819A	Lake County Stormwater / Lake County Stormwater Assessment; City of Eustis; DEP / City of Eustis	\$2,000,000	Ongoing / Ongoing

Note: Though reductions in TP loading are not currently quantified, better future methodologies may allow calculation for many projects.

Final August 14, 2007

TABLE AP.5. AGRICULTURAL BMPs

Project Number - Project Name	General Location / Description	WBID Number	Lead Entity / Funding Source / Project Partners	Project Cost	Project Status / Completion Date or Anticipated Completion Date
MARION02 - Clean Farms Initiative	Marion County - countywide / Clean Farms Initiative is designed to assist Marion County farm owners and managers with implementation of BMPs, and to recognize them for their cooperative efforts. Clean Farms Initiative promotes BMPs for animal waste and nutrient management on agricultural lands. Initiative was begun by passage of Resolution 04-R-384, by Marion County Board of County Commissioners, recognizing importance of agriculture to county's history and economy, while also recognizing need to protect water resources. As part of Initiative, more than 7,500 surveys and brochures were mailed in October 2006 to owners of agricultural land, ranging from large operations of several hundred acres to small tracts of land with fewer than a dozen animals. Survey measures current manure management and fertilization practices. Results of survey, and input from focus groups held in February and March 2007, will be used to direct Initiative's next steps aimed at protecting and preserving water resources.	Marion_County	Marion County Clean Water Program / Marion County Clean Water Assessment; General Revenue; SWFWMD grant / Marion County Planning Department ; Marion County Extension Service ; Marion County Soil and Water Commission; SWFWMD	\$15,000	Ongoing / Ongoing
NUTRIENT01 - Ridge Citrus BMP Implementation and Compliance	Upper Ocklawaha Basin / Suite of BMP practices that address nutrient and irrigation management for Ridge citrus. Implementation of Rule 5E-1.023, F.A.C., Notice of Intent, Procedures for Landowners and Leaseholders to Submit a Notice of Intent to Implement Nitrogen Best Management Practices. Management of agricultural runoff reduces nutrient loadings. Adoption by rule of document, <i>Nitrogen Best Management Practices (BMPs) for Florida Ridge Citrus</i> .	Basin_wide	Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services, Office of Agricultural Water Policy / Not available / Private landowners	Not available	Ongoing / Ongoing
NUTRIENT05 - Statewide Cow/calf BMP Manual Development and Implementation	Upper Ocklawaha Basin / Development and rule adoption of manual that addresses BMPs for cow/calf agriculture operations. Reduce nutrient loadings in runoff from cow/calf agriculture operations.	Basin_wide	DACS, Office of Agricultural Water Policy / Not available / Private landowners	Not available	Ongoing / Early 2008 for manual adoption; implementation will be ongoing
NUTRIENT06 - Statewide Equine BMP Manual Development and Implementation	Upper Ocklawaha Basin / Development and rule adoption of manual that addresses BMPs for horse management. Management of agricultural runoff reduces nutrient loadings.	Basin_wide	DACS, Office of Agricultural Water Policy / Not available / Private landowners	Not available	Ongoing / Early 2008 for manual adoption; implementation will be ongoing
NUTRIENT07 - Statewide BMP Manual for Container Grown Plants	Upper Ocklawaha Basin / Revision and adoption of manual that addresses BMPs for container-grown plants. Management of agricultural runoff reduces nutrient loadings.	Basin_wide	DACS, Office of Agricultural Water Policy / Not available / Private landowners	Not available	Ongoing / Early 2008 for manual adoption; implementation will be ongoing
NUTRIENT08 - Statewide Sod Operations BMP Manual Development and Adoption	Upper Ocklawaha Basin / Development and rule adoption of manual that addresses BMPs for sod operations. Reduce nutrient loadings in runoff from agricultural operations.	Basin_wide	DACS, Office of Agricultural Water Policy / Not available / Private landowners	Not available	Ongoing / Early 2008 for manual adoption; implementation will be ongoing
NUTRIENT09 - Silviculture Best Management Practices Implementation and Compliance	Upper Ocklawaha Basin silviculture lands / BMPs for silviculture applied to industrial, public, and private lands. Silviculture BMP implementation and compliance. Silviculture BMPs were established in mid-1970s in response to Clean Water Act, and revised most recently in 2004. These BMPs are minimum standards for	Basin_wide	DACS, Division of Forestry / Not available / Private landowners	Not available	Ongoing / Implementation ongoing

Final August 14, 2007

Project Number - Project Name	General Location / Description	WBID Number	Lead Entity / Funding Source / Project Partners	Project Cost	Project Status / Completion Date or Anticipated Completion Date
	protecting and maintaining water quality and wildlife habitat during forestry activities. BMPs address fertilization, and new projects include annual basinwide BMP Survey and targeted training.				
NUTRIENT10 - Statewide BMP Manual for In-ground Nurseries	Upper Ocklawaha Basin / Development and rule adoption of manual that addresses BMPs for in-ground nurseries. Management of agricultural runoff reduces nutrient loadings.	Basin_wide	DACS, Office of Agricultural Water Policy / Not available / Private landowners	Not available	Pending / 2010 for manual adoption; implementation will be ongoing

Final August 14, 2007

TABLE AP.6. RESTORATION AND WATER QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS

Project Number - Project Name	General Location / Description	Estimated TP Load Reduction (lbs /yr)	WBID Number	Lead Entity / Funding Source / Project Partners	Project Cost	Project Status / Completion Date or Anticipated Completion Date
ABC01 - Nutrient Reduction Facility	Apopka-Beauclair Canal/CC Ranch / Water in Apopka-Beauclair Canal treated off-line with alum. Removes phosphorus containing compounds from Lake Apopka discharge. Reduce loading from Lake Apopka to Lake Beauclair and Apopka-Beauclair Canal.	5,000	2835A; 2834C	LCWA / LCWA;Legislature / SJRWMD/DEP	\$5,200,000	Ongoing / Projected completion 8/1/2007
BCL02 - Suction dredging of western Lake Beauclair	Western end of Lake Beauclair / Suction dredging to remove 1 million cubic yards of sediment in western end of Lake Beauclair.	Unknown	2834C	FWC/LCWA/SJRWMD / cost share / --	\$12,000,000	Pending / Projected completion 8/1/2008
BCL03 - Gizzard shad harvest	Lake Beauclair in-lake removal of fish / Harvest of gizzard shad by commercial fishermen. Removal of fish removes nutrients from lake. Reduces recycling of nutrients from sediments and reduces sediment resuspension (TSS). Stabilizes bottom to reduce TSS.	Unknown	2834C	SJRWMD / SJRWMD Ad valorem; Legislative appropriation / --	\$150,000/year in 2005 and 2006	Ongoing / Ongoing
DORA13 - Gizzard shad harvest	Lake Dora in-lake removal of fish / Harvest of gizzard shad by commercial fishermen. Part of experimental assessment with UF and FWC. Removal of fish removes nutrient from lake. Reduces recycling of nutrients from sediments and reduces sediment resuspension (TSS). Stabilizes bottom to reduce TSS.	Unknown	2831B	SJRWMD / SJRWMD Ad valorem; Legislative appropriation / --	\$150,000/year in 2005 and 2006	Ongoing / Ongoing
EUS25 - Pine Meadows Restoration Area	Pine Meadows Restoration Area. Muck farm is east of Trout Lake and discharges to Hicks Ditch. / Reduce TP loadings from former muck farm. Restore aquatic, wetland, and riverine habitat. Chemical treatment of soil (alum) to bind phosphorus containing compounds. Reduce nutrient outflow to feasible level of 1.1 kg/ha/yr of TP, or about 1 lb. per acre. Trout Lake is tributary to Lake Eustis. Reduction in nutrient loading benefits both Lake Eustis and Trout Lake.	1,487 - Lake Eustis; 726 - Trout Lake	2817B	SJRWMD / SJRWMD / --	\$1,300,000 combined cost for both lakes	Ongoing / Ongoing
GRIF01 - Lake Griffin Emeraldal Marsh Restoration	Emeralda Marsh Conservation Area (northeast marshes) north of Haines Creek / Lake Griffin Emeraldal Marsh restoration: To be managed for wetland habitat restoration, planting; alum treatment to bind phosphorus containing compounds in sediments; manage excess nutrient outflow; and remove TSS. Manage nutrient outflow to Lake Griffin to feasible loading of 1.1 kg/ha/yr TP, or about 1 lb. per acre.	41,450	2814A	SJRWMD / SJRWMD Ad valorem; Legislative appropriation / --	\$15,000,000 for land acquisition	Ongoing / Ongoing
GRIF02 - Gizzard Shad Harvest	Lake Griffin in-lake removal of fish / Gizzard shad removal from Lake Griffin by commercial fishermen. Expanded to Lake Dora and Lake Beauclair, with possible future expansion to other lakes in Harris Chain. Remove and export nutrients via fish. Reduces recycling of nutrients from sediments and reduces sediment resuspension (TSS). Stabilizes bottom to reduce TSS.	Unknown	2814A	SJRWMD / SJRWMD Ad valorem; Legislative appropriation; LCWA / -	\$1,000,000 spent since 2002 harvest	Ongoing / Ongoing
HAR02 - Lake Harris Conservation Area	North shore of Lake Harris / Restoration of former muck farm. Chemical treatment of soil (alum) to bind phosphorus containing compounds for nutrient control. Aquatic and wetland habitat restoration. Reduce and manage nutrient outflow to Lake Harris to feasible loading of 1.1 kg/ha/yr TP, or about 1 lb. per acre.	6,665	2838A	SJRWMD / Ad valorem; legislative appropriation / --	\$550,000	Ongoing / Ongoing
HAR03 - Harris Bayou Conveyance Project	Harris Conservation Area to Lake Griffin / Establish water flow connection to Lake Griffin. Modification of hydrodynamics to accommodate higher flows of water.	Unknown	2838A	SJRWMD / Ad valorem; legislative appropriation / --	\$5,000,000	Ongoing / Projected completion 12/31/2007
LAP05 - Lake Apopka Constructed Marsh	Northwest shore of Lake Apopka / Constructed marsh on northwest shore of lake. Lake water pumped through marsh to remove particulates and nutrients from lake water. Marsh designed to treat about 150 cubic feet per second (cfs).	External reduction: 4,864 and flow-way:	2835D	SJRWMD / SJRWMD - SWIM Legislative Appropriation/ Ad Valorem/Beltway Mitigation Lake	Total \$~15 million in land acquisition / \$4.32 million Phase 1	Ongoing / Ongoing

Final August 14, 2007

Project Number - Project Name	General Location / Description	Estimated TP Load Reduction (lbs /yr)	WBID Number	Lead Entity / Funding Source / Project Partners	Project Cost	Project Status / Completion Date or Anticipated Completion Date
flow-way Phase 1		17,640 to 22,050		County/LCWA - \$1,000,000 EPA - \$1,000,000 / LCWA/ Lake County/EPA	flow-way construction	
LAP06 - North Shore Restoration Area	North shore of Lake Apopka / Wetland habitat restoration. Remediate pesticide "hot spots" in soil.	99,960	2835D	SJRWMD / SJRWMD/Legislative appropriation - P2000:SOR: CARL; USDA WRP / USDA	\$~100 million in land acquisition	Ongoing / Ongoing
LAP07 - With-in Lake Habitat Restoration	Lake Apopka / Planting of wetland vegetation in littoral zone, largely north shore. Helps improve fishery, improve water quality and may reduce nutrient levels, stabilize bottom, and reduce TSS.	Unknown	2835D	SJRWMD / SJRWMD ad valorem / --	-\$10,000 annually	Ongoing / Ongoing
LAP08 - Removal of Gizzard Shad	Lake Apopka / Harvest of gizzard shad by commercial fishermen. Removal of fish removes nutrient from lake. Reduces recycling of nutrients from sediments and reduces sediment resuspension (TSS). Stabilizes bottom to reduce TSS.	Unknown	2835D	SJRWMD / SJRWMD ad valorem ;Lake County: LCWA; Legislature appropriation / Lake County/LCWA	-\$500,000 annually	Ongoing / Ongoing
TROUT01 - Pine Meadows Restoration Area	Pine Meadows Restoration Area. Muck farm is east of Trout Lake and discharges to Hicks Ditch. / Reduce TP loadings from former muck farm. Restore aquatic, wetland, and riverine habitat. Chemical treatment of soil (alum) to bind phosphorus containing compounds. Reduce nutrient outflow to feasible level of 1.1 kg/ha/yr of TP, or about 1 lb. per acre. Trout Lake is a tributary to Lake Eustis. Reduction in nutrient loading benefits both Lake Eustis and Trout Lake.	1,487 - Lake Eustis; 726 - Trout Lake	2817B; 2819A	SJRWMD / SJRWMD / --	\$1,300,000 combined cost for both lakes	Ongoing / Ongoing

Final August 14, 2007

TABLE AP.7. REGULATIONS, ORDINANCES, AND GUIDELINES

Project Number - Project Name	General Location / Description	WBID Number	Lead Entity / Funding Source / Project Partners	Project Cost	Project Status / Completion Date or Anticipated Completion Date
EUSTIS03 - Stormwater design rules	Within city of Eustis jurisdiction / Eustis code Sec. 115-5. Eustis stormwater rules for new development are more stringent than state or SJRWMD rules. All new development must provide stormwater treatment meeting city requirements and are subject to review by staff. City staff do field inspections of new construction. Eustis rule has 3 design criteria: 100-year storm, 50-year storm, and 25-year storm based on geotechnical and soil conditions. SJRWMD only requires 25-year peak storm flow design criteria. Most development within Eustis requires 50- or 100-year design criteria.	2831B	City of Eustis / Eustis Stormwater Utility Fee / --	Not available	Ongoing / Ongoing
LAP01 - Apopka Basin Development Guidelines, contained within County Land Development Regulations.	Lake County portion of Lake Apopka watershed including Johns Lake / Apopka Basin Development Guidelines, contained within Lake County Land Development Regulations. Provides ground and surface water protection.	2835D; 2835C	Lake County Environmental Services / Not available / --	Not available	Ongoing / Ongoing
LC01 - Golf Course Resource Management Plan	Lake County - countywide / Golf Course resource management plans are applicable to the unincorporated portion of Lake County. They apply to new and existing golf courses. Regulatory approach that will provide protection to ground and surface waters.	Lake_county	Lake County Environmental Services / Lake County / --	Not available	Ongoing / Ongoing
LC02 - Lake County Shoreline Protection Guide	Lake County - countywide / Lake front property owner guide. Guide for lakefront land owners on water resource issues including shoreline protection, stormwater BMPs, erosion, and aquatic plants. Outreach program targeted at county residents. Inform property owners of better land management practices to improve water quality protection.	Lake_county	Lake County Environmental Services / Lake County / --	Not available	Ongoing / Ongoing
MARION01 - Springshed Protection Program	Rainbow and Silver Springsheds / Prevent further degradation of water quality of Rainbow and Silver Springs, and reduce or eliminate existing sources of pollution. Marion County Board of County Commissioners is conducting hearings on amendments to county's Comprehensive Plan that would establish primary and secondary springs protection zones; limit expansion of existing, or development of new, uses and activities in these zones; address wastewater disposal issues; encourage Florida-friendly landscaping; provide additional stormwater runoff treatment; and encourage use of low-impact development techniques.	Marion_County	Marion County Planning Department / / Marion County Clean Water Program; SWFWMD	Not available	Ongoing / Ongoing
ORANGE02 - Orange County Clean Lakes Initiative Program	Unincorporated Orange County located within Lake Apopka, Lake Beauclair, and Lake Carlton drainage basins / Financial assistance (Incentive program) for homeowners who voluntarily install berms and swales or restore shoreline/littoral zone with native vegetation. Up to \$1,000 reimbursement and waiver of permit fee to qualified applicants.	Orange_county	OCEPD / Not available / --	Not available	Ongoing / Ongoing
ORANGE03 - Orange County Surface Water Protection Code	Unincorporated Orange County / Orange County Code, Chapter 15, Articles II and IV. Orange County Air and Water Pollution Control Act provides protection and regulation of pollution and contamination of air, soil, and water resources of Orange County.	Orange_county	OCEPD / Not available / --	Not available	Ongoing / Ongoing
ORANGE08 - Orange County Parks Phosphorus (measured as phosphate) Fertilizer Use Reduction	Orange County Parks, including Trimble, Roosevelt, Nichols, Magnolia Park, Chapin Station, Winter Garden Station, and County Line Station. / OCEPD and Parks Department agreed to reduce use of phosphorus fertilizers for each new lawn care and maintenance contract issued on all park facilities. Agreement includes use of reduced phosphorus (measured as phosphate) between 0-5%	Orange_county	OCEPD / Not available / Orange County Parks Department	Not available	Ongoing / Ongoing

Final August 14, 2007

Project Number - Project Name	General Location / Description	WBID Number	Lead Entity / Funding Source / Project Partners	Project Cost	Project Status / Completion Date or Anticipated Completion Date
	<p>on turf areas (athletic fields, recreational and waterfront parks). Higher percentages of phosphorus are allowable in localized areas (i.e. flower beds, trees and shrubs) needing greater amounts on an as needed basis. Prohibition on use of fertilizers, pesticides—specifically herbicides—within 10 feet of shoreline. Application of weed controls directly rather than by broadcast methods. Limitation of nitrogen (measured as water soluble organic nitrogen) to less than 0.5 lb. per 1,000 square feet. The parks fertilizer program contracts with landscape companies will be adjusted for 2008 to reflect the changes that will occur as the result of passage by DACS of the Urban Turf Fertilizer Rule (5E-1.003 F.A.C.), that goes into effect on Dec. 31, 2007.</p>				
PAL01 - Septic Tank LDR	<p>GSACSC / Septic tanks within Green Swamp are required to be pumped every five years. Land Development Regulation addresses ground and surface water protection.</p>	2839	<p>Lake County Environmental Services / Not available / --</p>	Not available	Ongoing / Ongoing
PAL11 - Groveland Septic Tank Prohibition	<p>GSACSC, Palatka River including lakes / No septic tanks permitted in Green Swamp or on new development sites in Groveland. Addresses ground water protection.</p>	2938	<p>City of Groveland / Developer as part of site development process. / Developer as part of site development process.</p>	Not available	Complete / Ongoing
PAL12 - Green Swamp Additional Stormwater Runoff Retention	<p>GSACSC / 3 inches of runoff to be retained in most effective recharge areas in GSACSC. Addresses ground and surface water protection.</p>	2938	<p>City of Groveland / Developer as part of site development process. / Developer as part of site development process.</p>	Not available	Complete / Ongoing
PAL22 - Groveland Septic Tank LDR	<p>GSACSC within Groveland city limits / Septic tanks within Green Swamp are required to be pumped every five years. Land Development Regulation addresses ground and surface water protection.</p>	2839	<p>City of Groveland / Not available / --</p>	Not available	Ongoing / Ongoing
UMATILLA01 - Green Space Ordinance	<p>Within city limits of Umatilla / Umatilla Land Development Regulations, Chapter 6, Zoning District Regulations require that new development in Umatilla must set aside 25% of area as green space.</p>	2819A ; 2807A	<p>City of Umatilla / Not available / --</p>	Not available	Ongoing / Ongoing
UMATILLA02 - Stormwater Development Ordinance	<p>Within city limits of Umatilla / Umatilla Code of Ordinances, Subdivision Regulation (k) Storm Drainage 19-53. All new development in Umatilla is required to retain stormwater runoff on site.</p>	2819A; 2807A	<p>City of Umatilla / Not available / --</p>	Not available	Ongoing / Ongoing

Final August 14, 2007

TABLE AP.8. SPECIAL STUDIES AND PLANNING EFFORTS

Project Number - Project Name	General Location / Description	WBID Number	Lead Entity / Funding Source / Project Partners	Project Cost	Project Status / Completion Date or Anticipated Completion Date
DORA14 - Lake Dora, Beauclair, and Carlton Basin Study	Lake Carlton. Lake Beauclair. Lake Dora drainage basin within Lake County. / Lake Carlton basin drainage evaluation, per county's stormwater program. Precursor to stormwater retrofit and restoration activities.	2837B; 2834C; 2831B	Lake County Public Works / Lake County Stormwater Assessment / --	\$200,000 for 3 lakes	Ongoing / Ongoing
DORA15 - Lake Saunders Flood Study	Lake Saunders sub-basin / Priority project identified from Lake Dora Basin Study.	2831B; 2830A; 2830	Lake County Public Works / Lake County Stormwater Assessment / --	\$43,102	Ongoing / Ongoing
EUS04 - Lakes Eustis and Silver Lake Drainage Evaluation	Lake Eustis and Silver Lake Basins / Lake Eustis and Silver Lake drainage evaluation, per county's stormwater program. Precursor to stormwater retrofit and restoration activities. Inventory of stormwater outfalls (type, condition, location, amount of discharge) that discharge to lakes.	2817B	Lake County Public Works / Lake County Stormwater Assessment / --	\$184,000	Complete / Complete
GRIF04 - Lake Griffin Basin Drainage Evaluation	Lake Griffin Basin / Lake Griffin basin drainage evaluation, per county's stormwater program. Inventory of stormwater outfalls (type, condition, location, amount of discharge) that discharge to lakes. Precursor to stormwater retrofit and restoration activities. BCI contracted to assess and inventory stormwater management features and outfalls, delineate drainage subbasins, estimate and prioritize pollutant loads by subbasin, and develop conceptual projects that address pollutant load reductions.	2814A; 2817A	Lake County Public Works / Lake County Public Works - 50%; DEP - 50% / --	\$92,410	Complete / 2003
HAR12 - Lake Harris and Little Lake Harris Basin Study	Lake Harris/Little Lake Harris drainage basin / Lake Harris and Little Lake Harris drainage evaluation, per county's stormwater program. Precursor to stormwater retrofit and restoration activities.	2838A	Lake County Public Works / Lake County Stormwater Assessment / --	\$200,000	Ongoing / Ongoing
LAP02 - Lake Apopka Basin Drainage Inventory	Lake Apopka Basin / Lake Apopka Basin Drainage Inventory, per Lake County's Stormwater Program. Precursor to stormwater retrofit or restoration activities. BCI contracted to assess and inventory stormwater management features and outfalls and delineate drainage subbasins.	2835D; 2835C	Lake County Public Works / SJRWMD - \$12,567 cost-share grant ; Lake County Stormwater Assessment - \$12,567 / SJRWMD	\$25,135	Complete / 2002
LAP04 - Johns Lake Stormwater Master Plan	Johns Lake drainage basin / Orange and Lake Counties' Stormwater Programs stormwater Master Plan. Part of Apopka Drainage Basin inventory. Johns Lake Master Plan was joint project between Orange County, Lake County, and LCWA done by Miller, Sellen, Connor, and Walsh. Inventory of stormwater outfalls (type, condition, location, amount of discharge) that discharge to lake to determine nonpoint sources of pollution.	2835D	Lake County Public Works; Orange County Public Works; LCWA / Lake County Stormwater Assessment-\$24,958; Orange County-\$200,000; LCWA-\$25,000 / --	\$250,000	Complete / 10/2003
LAP20 - Lake Apopka Master Plan - Orange County	Lake Apopka / Lake Apopka Master Plan done by Camp, Dresser, and McKee. Stormwater management plan for Lake Apopka. Phase 1 complete, ongoing with Phases 2 and 3. Identify retrofit opportunities to remove nutrient loading into Lake Apopka.	2835D	Orange County Public Works / Orange County Public Works / --	\$250,000	Ongoing / Phase 1 complete; Phases 2 and 3 in progress
MARION04 - Marion County Aquifer Vulnerability Assessment (MCAVA)	Marion County - countywide / Identification of vulnerable areas of aquifer. Project provides scientifically defensible water-resource management and protection tool that will facilitate planning of human activities to help in minimizing adverse impacts on ground water quality. Aquifer vulnerability maps are displayed in classes of relative vulnerability (one area is more vulnerable than another). Maps	Marion_County	Marion County Clean Water Program / Marion County Clean Water Assessment / DEP / SWFWMD / SJRWMD / UF	\$82,850	Ongoing / Projected completion August 2007

Final August 14, 2007

Project Number - Project Name	General Location / Description	WBID Number	Lead Entity / Funding Source / Project Partners	Project Cost	Project Status / Completion Date or Anticipated Completion Date
	benefit local government, planners, and developers in guiding growth into more appropriate areas (e.g., ground water recharge areas) and improving site selection for expanding existing or establishing new well fields.				
PAL02 - Drainage Evaluation: Lakes Louisa, Minnehaha, and Minneola	Basins of Lakes Louisa, Minnehaha, and Minneola / Drainage Evaluation of basins of Lakes Louisa, Minnehaha, and Minneola. Precursor to stormwater retrofit or restoration activities. Stanley Consultants contracted to assess and inventory stormwater management features and outfalls, delineate drainage subbasins, estimate and prioritize pollutant loads by sub-basin, and develop conceptual projects that address pollutant load reduction.	2839	Lake County Public Works / Lake County Stormwater assessment - \$50,000 ; SJRWMD - \$50,000 stormwater cost-share grant LCWA - \$64,951 stormwater grant / SJRWMD/LCWA	\$164,951	Complete / Complete
PAL08 - Lake Minnehaha Study and Stormwater Improvements	South of SR 50 and west of US 27 / Lake Minnehaha Study and Stormwater Improvements; project will involve study followed by design of recommended improvements; goal is to collect and treat stormwater before it enters the lake; began study June 2004. Project is currently in conceptual/study phase – specific design has not yet been determined.	2839	City of Clermont Engineering Dept. / 75% LCWA grant; 25% Clermont Stormwater Fees / LCWA	Study/ Design \$64,000; Construction Costs TBD	Ongoing / Ongoing
PAL09 - Lake Winona Study and Stormwater Improvement	South of SR 50 and west of US 27 / Lake Winona Study and Stormwater Improvements; Project will involve study followed by design of recommended improvements; goal is to collect and treat stormwater before it enters the lake; began study June 2004. Project is currently in conceptual/study phase – specific design has not yet been determined.	2839	City of Clermont Engineering Dept. / 75% LCWA grant; 25% City Stormwater Fees / LCWA	Study/ Design \$40,000; Construction Costs TBD	Ongoing / Ongoing
PAL13 - Groveland Stormwater Study	City Core, north and south of SR 50 / Stormwater study and development of master plan for older parts of city of Groveland.	2938	City of Groveland / Groveland Community Redevelopment Agency and possible grants. / City of Groveland and Groveland Community Redevelopment Agency	\$150,000	Complete / 2006
PAL21 - Lower Palatlakaha River Basin Study	Lower reaches of Palatlakaha River and connected lakes / Basin study of lower Palatlakaha River Basin. Basin drainage evaluation, per county's stormwater program. Precursor to stormwater retrofit and restoration activities. PEC is performing study.	2839	Lake County Public Works / Lake County Stormwater Assessment / --	\$323,211	Ongoing / Ongoing
TROUT05 - Trout Lake Basin Study	Trout Lake Basin / Basin study of Trout Lake basin. Basin drainage evaluation, per county's stormwater program. Precursor to stormwater retrofit and restoration activities. Study is continuation of Lake Eustis Basin Study. Study performed by PEC.	2819A	Lake County Public Works / Lake County Stormwater Assessment / --	\$130,000	Ongoing / Ongoing
YALE01 - Lake Yale Basin Study	Lake Yale sub-basin / Basin study of Lake Yale sub-basin. Basin drainage evaluation, per county's stormwater program. Precursor to stormwater retrofit and restoration activities. Inwood is performing study for county. Marion County participating in study by providing information/data for their part of basin.	2807A	Lake County Public Works / Lake County Stormwater Assessment / --	\$266,374	Ongoing / Ongoing

Final August 14, 2007

TABLE AP.9. EDUCATION AND OUTREACH EFFORTS

Project Number - Project Name	General Location / Description	WBID Number	Lead Entity / Funding Source / Project Partners	Project Cost	Project Status / Completion Date or Anticipated Completion Date
APOPKA02 - Educational outreach	Apopka city-wide / Various educational activities that inform and give guidance to citizens on importance of water as a resource. Activities included presentations, newspaper articles, handouts, mailouts on topic of water conservation and stormwater runoff. Storm drain stenciling program that engages local volunteers. Informs residents of discharges into surface waters. Indirect benefit to Lake Apopka by reducing pollutant sources and runoff within watershed.	2835D	City of Apopka / City of Apopka / --	Not available	Ongoing / Ongoing
EUSTIS02 - Support of WAV Program	Within city of Eustis jurisdiction / Eustis is partner and financial supporter of WAV Program. WAV provides assistance to city with implementation of educational programs and water quality monitoring to support Eustis's MS4 Permit.	2817B	City of Eustis / Eustis Stormwater Utility Fee / Lake County/LCWA	5,000 annually	Ongoing / Ongoing
LADYL01 - Support of Lake County Watershed Action Volunteers Program	Within jurisdiction of Lady Lake / WAV is public education and participation program serving residents of Lake Lake and is Phase II MS4 requirement. Potential for increasing community participation in BMPs that protect water resources.	2814A	Town of Lady Lake / Town of Lady Lake / --	\$7,500 per year	Ongoing / Ongoing
LC03 - Lake County Water Resource Atlas	Lake County - countywide / Web-based outreach education program focused on water resource issues. Web- based outreach program targeted at residents of Lake County. Objective is to inform residents about water resource issues, including TMDLs, stormwater, water quality, etc. Helps to promote good stewardship and wise use of water resources.	Lake_county	Lake County Environmental Services / Lake County / Lake County Stormwater; LCWA	\$90,000	Ongoing / Ongoing
LC05 - Support of Watershed Action Volunteers Program	Lake County - countywide / WAV Program is outreach program to residents of Lake County. WAV is public education and participation program for residents of Lake County that enhances knowledge and awareness of stormwater management. Part of MS4 Phase II public education requirement.	Lake_county	Lake County Public Works / Lake County Stormwater Assessment / --	\$20,000 per year	Ongoing / Ongoing
MARION5 - Marion County Low Impact Development Practices	Marion County - countywide / Encourage adoption of LID practices to preserve and protect water resources. To foster LID not only within Marion County's springs protection zones, but throughout county, Clean Water Program conducted day-long seminar for developers, engineers, landscape architects, and construction professionals in April 2007. Seminar shared LID options and discussed impact of LID on water resources.	Marion_County	Marion County Clean Water Program / Marion County Clean Water Assessment / University of Florida	\$82,850	Complete / March 2007
ORANGE06 - Support of Watershed Action Volunteers Program in Orange County	Orange County - countywide / WAV Program is public education and participation program for residents of Orange County. Part of MS4 Phase I public education requirement.	Orange_county	OCEPD / Not available / --	\$12,000 per year	Ongoing / Ongoing
ORANGE07 - Orange County Water Resource Atlas	Orange County - countywide / Web-based outreach education program focused on water resource issues. Web-based outreach program targeted at residents of Orange County. Objective is to inform residents about water resource issues, including TMDLs, stormwater, water quality, etc.	Orange_county	OCEPD / Not available / -City of Winter Garden and City of Apopka	Annual maintenance fee for county-wide atlas is	Ongoing / Ongoing

Final August 14, 2007

Project Number - Project Name	General Location / Description	WBID Number	Lead Entity / Funding Source / Project Partners	Project Cost	Project Status / Completion Date or Anticipated Completion Date
				\$57,650.	
PAL07 - Clermont Storm Drain Marking	Throughout city of Clermont / Storm drain marking: Signs were placed on all inlets in city with direct discharge to a lake; project completed. Signs were placed on 350 inlets. Discourages dumping of chemicals or other harmful substances in stormwater inlets.	2839	City of Clermont Engineering Dept. / Clermont Stormwater Fees / --	\$720, in kind labor	Complete / 6/26/2005

Final August 14, 2007

TABLE AP.10. BASIC STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION

Project Number - Project Name	General Location / Description	WBID Number	Lead Entity / Funding Source / Project Partners	Project Cost	Project Status / Completion Date or Anticipated Completion Date
AOPKA01 - Street sweeping	Apopka city-wide / Street sweeping to reduce debris and sediment entering Lake Apopka. The benchmark frequency for sweeping shall be quarterly or as needed. Removes sediment and debris from streets that would otherwise contribute potential nutrient loadings to Lake Apopka.	2835D	City of Apopka / City of Apopka / --	Not available	Ongoing / Ongoing
AOPKA03 - Stormwater collection system maintenance	Apopka city-wide // Maintenance and cleaning of stormwater inlets, ditches, swales, and ponds. The benchmark frequency for this routine maintenance shall be quarterly or as needed. Indirect benefit to Lake Apopka by reducing pollutant sources and runoff within watershed.	2835D	City of Apopka / City of Apopka / --	Not available	Ongoing / Ongoing
CLR02 - Street Sweeping	Commercial area of Clermont and main roads / City sweeps streets within commercial area and main roads. The frequency benchmark shall be monthly or as needed. The performance benchmark shall be 650 miles of road swept with approximately 328 cubic yards of material removed annually	2839	City of Clermont / City of Clermont / --	Not available	Ongoing / Ongoing
EUSTIS01 - Street Sweeping and Drainage Maintenance	Throughout City of Eustis / City, FDOT and citizen groups sweep streets. Downtown Village streets are swept weekly (52 times/year). Other streets are swept monthly. The performance benchmark shall be 1,110 miles of road swept with 1,587 cubic yards of material removed annually.	2817B; 2819B	City of Eustis / Eustis Stormwater Utility Fee / --	\$234,951 per year	Ongoing / Ongoing
LADYL02 - Street Sweeping	Within jurisdiction of Lady Lake / Town-wide street sweeping to remove dirt and debris. The benchmark frequency shall be quarterly or as needed. Removal of debris and potential pollutants prevents their entry into lakes. The performance benchmark shall be 250 cubic yards of material removed annually.	2814A	Town of Lady Lake / Town of Lady Lake / --	\$25,000 per year	Ongoing / Ongoing
LADYL03 - Storm Water System Maintenance	Within the jurisdiction of Lake Lake / Town-wide curb and gutter cleaning and catch basin vacuuming. Remove pollutants and debris before entering stormsewer system. The benchmark frequency for this routine maintenance shall be quarterly or as needed.	2814A	Town of Lady Lake / Town of Lady Lake / --	Not available	Ongoing / Ongoing
LAP27 - Montverde Boat Ramp Swale Improvement	Montverde boat ramp / Improvements made to swale system.	2835D	Lake County Public Works / Lake County Stormwater Assessment / --	\$100,000	Pending / Construction planned for 2008
LAP28 - Shore Drive and Lake Blvd-Johns Lake Retrofit	Shore Dr. and Lake Blvd. / Exfiltration and outfall improvements.	2835B	Lake County Public Works / Lake County Stormwater Assessment / --	\$100,000	Pending / Construction planned for 2008
LEESBURG01 - Street Sweeping	Leesburg city limits. / Sweeping of city-maintained streets to remove dirt, vegetation, and debris. The benchmark frequency shall be monthly covering an estimated 170 miles of pavement each month. The performance benchmark for removal shall be 50 cubic yards of debris collected and disposed of each month.	2814A	City of Leesburg Environmental Services / Leesburg Stormwater Utility Fee / --	\$125,000 per year	Ongoing / Ongoing
MTDORA01 - Street Sweeping	Within city limits of Mt. Dora / Citywide street-sweeping program. Removes sediments and debris from streets and prevents their entry into lakes. May remove some TP if attached to sediment. The benchmark frequency for this activity shall be quarterly or as needed.	2831B	City of Mt. Dora / City of Mt. Dora / --	Not available	Ongoing / Ongoing
OCOEE01 - Street Sweeping	Ocoee city limits. / Sweeping of city maintained streets to remove dirt, vegetation, and debris. The benchmark frequency for street sweeping is bi-monthly and covers about 1,159 miles with a performance removal of 206 tons of debris collected annually.	2835A; 2835D	City of Ocoee Stormwater Department / City of Ocoee / --	Not available	Ongoing / Ongoing
ORANGE01 - Street Sweeping in the Lake Apopka Basin	Unincorporated Orange County within the Lake Apopka Basin / Contractor and FDOT conduct street sweeping. Contractor and FDOT sweep about 460 miles of road periodically on an annual county-wide basis. The benchmark for street sweeping shall be 3,000 cumulative miles annually. Based on typical street sweeping, the debris picked up would be approximately 28 tons.	2835D	OCEPD/Public Works / Orange County	Based on Orange County contract rates, the estimated annual cost would be \$60,000.	Ongoing / Ongoing
ORANGE04 - Street sweeping in the Lake Carlton and Lake Beauclair Basins	Orange County-maintained roads in sub-basins that contribute to Lake Carlton and Lake Beauclair, which are primarily roads around Lake Ola and areas to the north of that lake. / Contracted street-sweeping services on Orange County-maintained roads. Basin area approximately 6,522 acres.	2834C; 2837B	OCEPD / Not available / --	Estimated cost based on Orange County contract rates is \$3,300.	Ongoing / Ongoing

Final August 14, 2007

Project Number - Project Name	General Location / Description	WBID Number	Lead Entity / Funding Source / Project Partners	Project Cost	Project Status / Completion Date or Anticipated Completion Date
	Within that area, the benchmark is 13.87 miles of roads swept monthly for annual total mileage of 166.44. Estimated amount of debris collected through that effort is a performance removal of 3,080 pounds.				
PAL18 - Disston Avenue and Bike Trail	Forrest Subdivision / Installation of piping, catch basins, sidewalk replacement, driveway repair, relocated existing water lines needed for existing stormwater pond in Forrest Subdivision. Improvements to existing stormwater treatment system that will better protect water resources.	2839	City of Minneola / Minneola stormwater fund / --	\$80,132.50	Ongoing / Ongoing
PAL19 - The Crescent	Installation of new curb and gutter, road surface, new inlet basin, sod, as improvements for existing pond. Improvements to existing stormwater treatment system that will better protect water resources.	2839	City of Minneola / DEP grant / DEP	\$740,000	Complete / Complete
PAL20 - Firestone/WaterFord Landing	Waterford Landing Subdivision / Install piping, manholes, open and repair road, concrete flume as part of improvements for existing pond in Waterford Landing Subdivision.	2839	City of Minneola / Minneola stormwater fund / --	\$91,077	Ongoing / Ongoing
Tavares01 - Street Sweeping	Tavares / Citywide street-sweeping program. Removes sediment and debris from streets that would otherwise contribute potential nutrient loadings to Lakes Dora and Eustis. The benchmark frequency for sweeping shall be quarterly or as needed.	2831B; 2817B	City of Tavares / City of Tavares / --	Not available	Ongoing / Ongoing
WNTRGAR01 - Street Sweeping	Winter Garden city limits / Sweeping of city-maintained streets to remove dirt, vegetation, and debris. The benchmark frequency for sweeping shall be quarterly or as needed. The performance benchmark shall be 4,355 miles of pavement swept with 312 cubic tons of debris collected annually.	2835A; 2835D	Winter Garden Public Works Department / City of Winter Garden / --	Not available	Ongoing / Ongoing
GROVE01 - Street Sweeping	Groveland city limits / Sweeping of city-maintained streets to remove dirt, vegetation, and debris. The benchmark frequency for street sweeping shall be once every 30 days or as needed.	2839	City of Groveland Public Works Division / City of Groveland / --	\$19,890 per year	Ongoing / Ongoing

Final August 14, 2007

TABLE AP.12. SIGNATORIES

ENTITY	SIGNATORY	TITLE	DATE
ALLIANCE TO PROTECT WATER RESOURCES, INC.	Nancy H. Fullerton	Vice President	12/5/07
LAKE COUNTY	Welton Caldwell	Chairman	6/22/07
ORANGE COUNTY	Richard Crotty	Mayor	4/11/07
MARION COUNTY	James Payton	Chairman	8/1/06
POLK COUNTY	Michael Herr	County Manager	9/05/07
LAKE COUNTY WATER AUTHORITY	Larry Everly, Sr.	Chairman	6/28/06
CITY OF APOPKA	Pending		
CITY OF CLERMONT	Harold Turville	Mayor	7/25/06
CITY OF EUSTIS	Jonnie Hale	Mayor/Commissioner	7/6/06
CITY OF FRUITLAND PARK	Pending		
CITY OF GROVELAND	James Smith	Mayor	7/3/06
TOWN OF LADY LAKE	Max Pullen	Mayor	8/17/06
CITY OF LEESBURG	Bob Lovell	Mayor	4/24/06
CITY OF MINNEOLA	David Yeager	Mayor	8/22/06
CITY OF MOUNT DORA	James Yatsuk	Mayor	4/18/06
CITY OF TAVARES	Sandy Gamble	Mayor	4/18/07
CITY OF WINTER GARDEN	Jack Quesinberry	Mayor	9/13/07
CITY OF OCOEE	S. Scott Vandergrif	Mayor	1/16/07
FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION	Vivian Garfein	Director, Central District	8/27/07
FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION, DISTRICT 5	George Lovett	Director of Transportation Development	10/15/07
FLORIDA FISH AND WILDLIFE CONSERVATION COMMISSION	Victor Heller	Assistant Executive Director	6/19/07
FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AND CONSUMER SERVICES, OFFICE OF AGRICULTURAL WATER POLICY	Richard J. Budell	Director	10/04/07
ST. JOHNS RIVER WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT	Kirby Green	Director	8/7/06

Final August 14, 2007